| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1-4-2018** | **16-CV-10651** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Scott Dolemba v. Fora a Financial, LLC and For a Financial Holdings, LLC**  Plaintiff sued Fora a Financial, LLC and Fora Financial Holdings, LLC (collectively, “Defendants”) alleging that Plaintiff received autodialed calls on his cellular phone in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act and the Illinois Consumer Fraud Act. | **5-15-2018** | **For more information write to:**  **Daniel A. Edelman**  **Heather Kolbus**  **Edelman, Combs, Latturner &**  **Goodwin, LLC**  **20 S. Clark Street**  **Suite 1500**  **Chicago, IL 60603** |
| **1-5-2018** | **15-CV-1614** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Aleksandrf Urakchin, et al. v. Allianz Asset Management of America, L.P., et al.**  In the Class Action, the Class Representative claim that Defendants’ failed to prudently manage the Plan’s investment lineup in the best interest of participants and beneficiaries, and gave an improper preference to investment options affiliated with the Plan’s sponsor, Allianz Asset Management of America, L.P. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write to:**  **Nichols Kaster, PLLP**  **Attn: Allianz Asset Management of America, L.P.**  **401(k) Savings and Retirement Plan Settlement**  **4600 IDS Center**  **80 South 8th Street**  **Minneapolis, MN 55402** |
| **1-5-2018** | **16-CV-00521** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Muir v. Early Warning Services, LLC**  Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. **§** 1681 *et seq.* (the “FCRA”) in connection with responding to consumer file disclosure requests. Plaintiff contends that the Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide all information in a consumer’s file upon request, specifically records that consumers had a record of “Internal Fraud” in the Internal Fraud Prevention Service database. | **Not set yet**  Prepared by Brenda Berkley | **For more information write to:**  **Ari H. Marcus**  **Marcus & Zelman, LLC**  **1500 Allaire Avenue**  **Suite 101**  **Ocean, NJ 07712** |
| **1-5-2018** | **17-CV-829** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **Coles v. StateServ Medical of Florida, LLC, et al.**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (the “FCRA”), 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(2), because it did not make a clear and conspicuous disclosure in writing that a consumer report would be obtained for employment purposes, in a document that consisted solely of the disclosure. Plaintiff alleged that the authorization forms that StateServ use included information in addition to that permitted by the law and therefore was not a disclosure that consisted solely of the disclosure. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforation write, call, fax or e-mail:**  **Marc Reed Edelman**  **Morgan & Morgan, P.A.**  **201 N. Franklin Street**  **Suite 700**  **Tampa, FL 33603-5157**  **813 223-5505 (Ph.)**  **813 257-0572 (Fax)**  [**medelman@forthepeople.com**](mailto:medelman@forthepeople.com) |
| **1-5-2018** | **16-CV-09483** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Martin v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA.**  The lawsuit alleges that Wells Fargo obtained consumer reports for consumers whose credit obligation or balance owed to Wells Fargo was paid off. The lawsuit alleges that, as a result, Wells Fargo violated the federal Fair Credit Reporting. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write to**  **Keith J. Keogh**  **Amy L. Wells**  **Keogh Law, Ltd.**  **55 W. Monroe Street**  **Suite 3390**  **Chicago, IL 60603** |
| **1-8-20218** | **12-MD-02311** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **Automotive Dealer Plaintiff (Shock Absorbers)**  **Re Defendants: Hitachi Automotive Systems, Ltd. and Hatachi Automotive Systems Americas, Inc. (collectively, “HIAMS Defendants”)**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants and unnamed co-conspirators, manufacturers and/or suppliers of Shock Absorbers globally and in the United States, for engaging in a long-running conspiracy to unlawfully fix, artificially raise, maintain and/or stabilize prices, rig bids for, and allocate the market and customers in the United States for Shock Absorbers. According to the United States Department of Justice (“DOJ”), Defendants’ conspiracy successfully targeted the long-struggling United States automotive industry, raising prices for car manufacturers and automobile dealers alike. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **BARRETT LAW GROUP, P.A.**  **P.O. Box 927**  **404 Court Square**  **Lexington, MS 39095**  **CUNEO GILBERT & LaDUCA, LLP**  **Suite 200**  **4725 Wisconsin Avenue, NW**  **Washington, DC 20016** |
| **1-9-2018** | **16-CV-01447** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Pedraza v. Pier 1 Imports (U.S.), Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Pier 1 Imports failed to provide rest breaks, engaged in unlawful and unfair business acts and practices, and violated Labor Code section 2699. Plaintiff alleges that Pier 1 failed to provide a first rest break when employees worked shifts between 3.50 and 3.99 hours and failed to provide a second rest break when employees worked shifts between 6.01 and 7.99 hours. Additionally, Plaintiff alleges that Pier 1 failed to provide enough staffing to provide coverage for employees to take rest breaks and required employees to work through their rest breaks without paying penalties. | **Not set yet** | **For more informstion write, call or fax:**  **AEGIS Law Firm, PC**  **Samuel A. Wong**  **Jessica L. Campbell**  **Ali S. Carlsen**  **9811 Irvine Cernter Drive**  **Suite 100**  **Irvine, CA 92618**  **949 379-6250 (Ph.)**  **949 379-6251 (Fax)** |
| **1-11-2018** | **15-CV-02905** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Carver v. Presence Health Network**  **Re Defendants: Presence Health Network, Presence Chicago Hospitals Network, formerly known as Presence RHC Corporation, Presence PRV Health, the Presence Health Network Board of Directors, the Presence RHC Corporation Board of Directors, the Presence PRV Health Board of Directors, the Church Plan Administrative Committee for the Provena Health Employees’ Pension Plan, the Investment Committee for the Provena Health Employees’ Pension Plan, the Investment Committee for the Provena Health Employees’ Pension Plan, the Plan Administrator of the Resurrection Health Care Retirement Plan, and the Presence Health Finance Committee (“Defendants”)**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendant denied ERISA protections to the participants and beneficiaries of the Plans, which are defined benefit pension plan sponsored by Presence, by claiming that the Plans qualify as ERISA-exempt “church plans.” The complaint further alleges that asserting this exemptgion caused Defendants to deny the Plans’ participants the protections of ERISA. These include, among other violations: underfunding the Plans by over $175 million, failing to furnish Plaintiffs or any member of the class with a Pension Benefit Statement, Summary Annual Reports, Notification of Failure to Meet Minimum Funding, or Funding Notices, and, as to the RHC Plan, failure to provide an ERISA-compliant schedule for vesting. | **7-10-2018** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.cohenmilstein.com/presence-settlement**](http://www.cohenmilstein.com/presence-settlement) |
| **1-12-2018** | **16-CV-06981** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Tanya Mayhew, et al. v. KAS Direct, LLC and S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc.**  The lawsuit alleges that the Defendants violated certain laws in marketing and sales of Babyganics Products, including the use of the terms “Babyganics” “mineral-based” and “natural.” | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Melissa S. Weiner**  **Halunen Law**  **1650 IDS Center**  **80 So. 8th St.**  **Minneapolis, MN 55402** |
| **1-12-2018** | **13-MD-2437** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litigation (Indirect Purchasers)**  **Re Defendants: PABCO Building Products, LLC, American Gypsum Company LLC, and New NGC, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants conspired, in violation of the federal antitrust laws, to raise, fix, maintain or stabilize the price of gypsum wallboard and, to help effectuate this price-fixing conspiracy, abolish the industry’s long-standing practice of limiting price increases for the duration of a construction project through the use of “job quotes.” | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **BLOCK & LEVITON LLP**  **155 Federal Street Suite 400**  **Boston, MA 02110**    **617 398-5600 (Ph.)** |
| **1-12-2018** | **8-CV-00965** | **(E.D. Cal.)** | **Kress, et al. v. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (“Defendant” or “PwC”)**  Plaintiffs allege that PwC misclassified unlicensed Attest Senior Associates in California under California overtime law and failed to pay them overtime wages, failed to compensate them for not providing legally-required meal and rest periods, failed to provide them with accurate wage statements, failed to pay them all wages due at the end of their employment, and violated California’s Unfair Competition Law (Business & Professions Code Section 17200, *et seq.*). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or e-mail:**  **Peter Muhic**  **Monique Myatt Galloway**  **Kessler Topaz Meltzer &**  **Check LLP**  **280 King of Prussia Road**  **Radnor, PA 19087**  **484 270-1436 (Ph.)**  [**pmuhic@ktmc.com**](mailto:pmuhic@ktmc.com)  [**mmyattgalloway@ktmc.com**](mailto:mmyattgalloway@ktmc.com) |
| **1-18-2018** | **16-CV-009245** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Harry and Glory Jones, et al. V. Cenlar, FSB, et al.**  There is a scheduled judicial hearing in this case regarding final approval of the settlement. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 11-29-2017. | **5-30-2018** | **For more inforamtion write or visit:**  **Adam M. Moskowitz**  **Kozyak, Tropin, & Throckmorton, LLP**  **2525 Ponce de Leon Blvd., 9th Floor**  **Coral Gables, FL 33134**  [**www.JonesSettlementInfo.com**](http://www.JonesSettlementInfo.com) |
| **1-18-2018** | **16-CV-00087** | **(E.D. Cal.)** | **Lauren Mathein and Christine Sabas v. Pier 1 Imports, Inc. and Pier 1 Imports (U.S.), Inc.**  Plaintiffs assert various California law claims against Defendant based on Pier 1 Imports' use of "flex" scheduling. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant owes reporting time pay, minimum wages, split shift premiums, reimbursement for business expenses, and other derivative penalties as a result of the flex scheduling practices. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **MARLIN & SALTZMAN, LLP**  **Stanley D. Saltzman**  **29229 Canwood Street Suite 208**  **Agoura Hills, CA 91301**  **818 991-8080 (Ph.)**  **818 991-8081 (Fax)** |
| **1-18-2018** | **16-CV-07938** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Podiatry in Motion, Inc. v. American Screening, LLC f/k/a American Screening Corporation**  Plaintiff alleges that it received an unsolicited fax advertisement promoting its goods or services that did not contain a proper opt out notice. Plaintiff alleged that these faxes violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), the Illinois Consumer Fraud Act (“ICFA”) and common laws of conversion, nuisance and trespass to chattels. | **5-24-2018** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Daniel A. Edelman**  **Julie Clark**  **Edelman, Combs, Latturner &**  **Goodwil, LLC**  **20 S. Clark Street**  **Suite 1500**  **Chicago, IL 60603**  **312 739-4200 (Ph.)**  **312 419—379 (Fax)** |
| **1-18-2018** | **16-CV-00968** | **(D. Md.)** | **Tiffany Smith v. Annapolis Junction Rails Solutions, LLC, et al.**  The lawsuit alleges that CSX Transportation, Inc. did not comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act in the manner in which it obtained relied upon and/or used background reports in deciding whether to grant access to its property or the property of its corporate affiliates. It you are a Class Member, CSX Transportation, Inc. obtained, relied upon and/or used your background report to deny your e-RAILSAFE application. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **E. DavidHoskins**  **16 East Lombard Street**  **Suite 400**  **Baltimore, MD 21202**  **410 662-6500 (Ph.)**  [**davidhoskins@hoskinnslaw.com**](mailto:davidhoskins@hoskinnslaw.com) |
| **1-18-2018** | **14-CV-02516** | **(D. Conn.)** | **In re: Aggrenox Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Boehringer Ingelheim**  **Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, (collectively Boehringer Ingelheim”); and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (“Teva”), Barr Pharmaceuticals Inc. (n/k/a Barr Pharmaceuticals LLC), Barr Laboratories, Inc., Duramed Pharmaceuticals Inc. (n/k/a Teva Women’s Health Inc.) and Duramed Pharmaceuticals Sales Corp. (n/k/a/ Teva Sales and Marketing, Inc.) (“Barr”) (collectively “Teva”)**  The lawsuit claims that Defendants Boehringer Ingelheim and Teva Pharmaceutical hurt competition and violated state laws by delaying the availability of allegedly less-expensive generic versions of Aggrenox®. | **7-19-2018** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.InReAggrenoxAntitrustLitigation.com**](http://www.InReAggrenoxAntitrustLitigation.com) |
| **1-18-2018** | **15-CV-03183** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: Tommie Copper Products Consumer Litigation**  The lawsuit alleges violations of consumer protection and warranty laws, and claims that Defendants misrepresented the ability of Tommie Copper Products to relieve pain, including arthritis and other chronic joint and muscular pain; aid in injury management; accelerate or speed muscle and joint recovery; and improve muscular power, strength, and endurance. | **5-1-2018** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1 800 683-9359 (Ph.)**  [**www.TommieCopperSettlement.com**](http://www.TommieCopperSettlement.com) |
| **1-19-2018** | **12-CV-00601**  **16-CV-10002** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Occupant Safety Systems**  **Beam’s Industries, Inc., et al. v. Toyoda Gosei Co. Ltd, et al**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to rig bids for, and to fix, maintain, or stabilize the prices of Occupant Safety Systems sold in the United States from at least as early as 1-1-2003 through the present. Plaintiffs further allege that they could not have discovered, and did not discover, Defendants’ conspiracy at a time earlier than February 2011, and that Defendants fraudulently concealed their conspiracy. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtion write, call, fax or visit:**  **David H. Fink**  **Darryl Bressack**  **FINK + ASSOCIATES LAW**  **38500 Woodward Avenue**  **Suite 350**  **Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304**  **248 971-2500 (Ph.)**  [**dfink@finkandassociateslaw.com**](mailto:dfink@finkandassociateslaw.com)  [**dbressack@finkandassociateslaw.com**](mailto:dbressack@finkandassociateslaw.com) |
| **1-22-2018** | **16-CV-01820** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Fresno County Employees’ Retirement Association, et al. v. comScore, Inc. et al.**  **Re Defendants: Serge Matta, Melvin Wesley III, Magid M. Abraham, Kenneth J. Tarpey, William J. Henderson, Russell Fradin, Gian Fulgoni, William Katz, Ronald J. Korn, Joan Lewis (collectively, the “individual Settling Defendants”)**  Plaintiffs allege that the Settling Defendants made false and misleading statements regarding comScore’s recognition of revenue from nonmonetary transactions, and that such statements artificially inflated the price of comScore common stock. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Bernstein Litowitz Berger**  **& Grossmann LLP**  **John C. Browne**  **1251 Avenue of the Americas**  **New York, NY 10020** |
| **1-24-2018** | **16-CV-01114** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **Chapman, et al. v. Tristar Products, Inc.**  Plaintiffs brought various claims on behalf of themselves and other consumers who bought the Pressure Cookers. Plaintiffs’ claims arise out of the allegations: (a) the Pressure Cookers are allegedly defective, and (b) Defendant did not disclose the alleged defects. | **7-12-2018** | **For more information write or call:**  **Gregory F. Coleman**  **Greg Coleman Law, P.C.**  **800 South Gay Street**  **Suite 1100**  **Knoxville T. 37929**  **865 247-0080 (Ph.)** |
| **1-24-2018** | **12-CV-5723** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **The Berkshire Bank and Government Development Bank for Puerto Rico v. Bank of America, et al.**  **Re Defendants: Citigroup Inc. and Citibank, N.A. (together, “Citigroup”)**  Plaintiffs allege that Citigroup and other defendants engaged in a common law fraud and a civil conspiracy to commit fraud. Lender Plaintiffs specifically allege that Citigroup and other Defendants manipulated the U.S. Dollar London Interbank Offered Rate (“USD LIBOR”) between 8-1-2007 and 5-31-2010 (the “Class Period”), causing Lender Plaintiffs to receive lower interest payments than they would have been entitled to. After extensive arm’s-length negotiations undertaken in good faith, Lender Plaintiffs and the Citigroup signed a Settlement Agreement (on 1-10-2018) and then a superseding Amended Settlement Agreement (on 1-15-2018) (“Settlement”) to fully and finally resolve Lender Plaintiffs’ Claims. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:**  **POMERANTZ LLP**  **Patrick V. Dahlstrom**  **Joshua B. Silverman**  **Louis C. Ludwig**  **Ten South LaSalle Street Suite 3505**  **Chicago, Illinois 60603**  **312 377-1181 (Ph.)**  **312 377-1184 (Fax)**  [**pdahlstrom@pomlaw.com**](mailto:pdahlstrom@pomlaw.com)  [**jbsilverman@pomlaw.com**](mailto:jbsilverman@pomlaw.com)  [**lcludwig@pomlaw.com**](mailto:lcludwig@pomlaw.com) |
| **1-24-2018** | **12-CV-5723** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **The Berkshire Bank, et al. v. Bank of America Corporation, et al.**  **Re Defendant: HSBC Bank plc (“HSBC”)**  Plaintiffs claim that Settling Defendants and other banks manipulated U.S. Dollar LIBOR, and that, as a result, institutions that held, purchased or sold loans tied to U.S. Dollar  LIBOR did not receive as much interest payments for their U.S. Dollar LIBOR-based loans as they should have. Settling Defendants and the Non-Settling Defendants deny these claims and maintain they did nothing wrong. Plaintiffs in the Lender Action have brought (a) common-law fraud, and (b) conspiracy to commit fraud claims against Settling Defendants and the Non-Settling Defendants. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Jeremy A. Lieberman**  **POMERANTZ LLP**  **600 Third Avenue**  **20th Floor**  **New York, NY 10016** |
| **1-24-2018** | **15-CV-923** | **(D. Md.)** | **Bond v. Cricket Communications, LLC**  Cricket estimated the number of class members residing in the District to be approximately 27,100 which is approximately .95% of class members. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 12-4-2017. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Cory L. Zajdel**  **Z Law, LLC**  **2345 York Road**  **Suite B-13**  **Timonium, MD 21093** |
| **1-26-2018** | **17-CV-03864** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Beckett, et al. v. Aetna, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that there were two possible breaches of privacy: first, in 7-2017, it is alleged that Aetna transmitted Protected Health Information (“PHI”) improperly to its legal counsel and a settlement administrator without having the purportedly proper authorizations to do so; and second, through the sending of a “Benefit Notice.” The term “Benefit Notice” means the notice that was sent by the settlement administrator to certain Settlement Class Members to inform Aetna members of their ability to fill prescriptions for certain medications through mail or retail pharmacy, as required by the settlement of legal claims that had been filed against certain Aetna-related entities of affiliates in Doe v. Aetna, Inc., No. 14-CV-2986 (S.D. Cal.). Plaintiff alleges that the Benefit Notice was sent in an envelope with a large transparent glassine window in such a manner that the instructions about how individuals could obtain their medications were visible from the outside of the envelope. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Ronda B. Goldfein**  **Yolanda French Lollis**  **Adrain M. Lowe**  **AIDS Law Project of Pennsylvania**  **1211 Chestnut Street**  **Suite 600**  **Philadelphia, PA 19107**  **215 587-9377 (Ph.)**  [**aetnaclass@aidslawpa.org**](mailto:aetnaclass@aidslawpa.org) |
| **1-26-2018** | **14-CV-01154** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **Daniel Finerman and Donna Devino, et al. v. Marriott Ownership Resorts, Inc. and International Cruise & Excursion Gallery, Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants failed to provide cruises in exchange for Class  Members’ points and charged Class Members additional sums to cover the costs of cruises under the guise of port fees or cruise line pass through fees. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **John A. Yanchunis, Sr.**  **MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX LITIGATION GROUP**  **201 N Franklin Street**  **Tampa, FL 33602**  **Joel R. Rhine**  **RHINE LAW FIRM, P.C.**  **Suite 300**  **1612 Military Cutoff Road**  **Wilmington, NC 28403** |
| **1-26-2018** | **12-MD-02311**  **15-CV-11868**  **15-CV-03003** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: NGK Spark Plug Co., Ltd. and NGK Spark Plugs (U.S. A.), Inc. (collectively, “NGK Spark Plug”)**  Plaintiffs allege that they were injured as a result of NGK’s alleged participation in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate markets and customers for Spark Plugs, Standard Oxygen Sensors, and Air Fuel Ratio Sensors in violation of Section 1  Sherman Act and various state antitrust, unfair competition, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws as set forth in End-Payor Plaintiffs’ Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint (“Complaint”) (Case No. 2:15-CV-03003, Doc. No. 65). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy**  **LLP**  **San Francisco Airport Office**  **Center**  **840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200**  **Burlingame, CA 94010**  **Robins Kaplan LLP**  **399 Park Avenue**  **Suite 3600**  **New York, NY 10022** |
| **1-26-2018** | **15-MD-00940** | **(E.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: Parking Heaters Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Webasto Products North America, Inc., Webasto Thermo & Comfort North America, Inc., and Webasto Thermo and Comfort SE (together, “Webasto”) and Eberspaecher Climate Control Systems GmbH & Co. KG, Eberspaecher Climate Control Systems International Beteiligungs-GmbH, Espar, Inc., and Espar Products Inc. (together, “Espar”)**  The lawsuit alleges that Webasto and Espar participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize the price of aftermarket Parking Heaters at artificially high levels in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1). Webasto and Espar have each agreed to settle the claims in the case. | **Not set yet** | **For more inforamtiuon write to:**  **LAW OFFICES OF**  **FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA**  **456 Montgomery Street**  **17th Floor**  **San Francisco, CA 94104**  **COOPER & KIRKHAM, P.C.**  **357 Tehama Street**  **Second Floor**  **San Francisco, CA 94103** |
| **1-26-2018** | **17-CV-3809** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Sanders, et al. v. The CJS Solutions Group, LLC d/b/a The HCI Group**  This lawsuit alleges that individuals who performed consulting work for HCI between 5-19-2014 through on or about May 31, 2017 were not paid overtime compensation to which they were entitled under the law. HCI denies that these individuals were entitled to any overtime compensation or other compensation beyond the compensation they received and denies any wrong doing and any and all liability and damages to anyone with respect to the alleged facts or causes of action asserted in the lawsuit. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call fax or e-mail:**  **Shanon J. Carson**  **Sarah R. Schalman-Bergen**  **Alexandra K. Piazza**  **BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.**  **1622 Locust Street**  **Philadelphia, PA 19103**  **215 875-3033 (Ph.)**  **215 875-4604 (Fax)**  **Email:** [**apiazza@bm.net**](mailto:apiazza@bm.net) |
| **1-29-2018** | **16-CV-8964** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Pantelyat v. Bank of America, N.A.**  The Plaintiff alleged that people with consumer deposit bank accounts with Bank of America were mistakenly charged overdraft fees on debit card transactions made with Uber. The Plaintiff claimed that this conduct breached Bank of America’s account agreement with customers. | **8-8-2018** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.RideOverdraftSettlement.com**](http://www.RideOverdraftSettlement.com) |
| **1-29-2018** | **17-CV-80487** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Whitworth, Jr. v. HH-Entertainment, Inc., d/b/a (“HH-Entertainment”)**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, by printing receipts that allegedly displayed more than the last five digits and/or the expiration date of customer credit and/or debit cards. | **7-6-2018** | **For more information write or call:**  **Manuel S. Hiraldo**  **Hiraldo P.A.**  **401 E. Las Olas Boulevard**  **Suite 1400**  **Ft. Lauderdale, Fl 33301**  **954 400-4713 (Ph.)** |
| **1-29-2018** | **13-md-2437** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litigation**  **Re Defendants: Eagle Materials Inc., American Gypsum Company LLC, New NGC, Inc., and PABCO Building Products, LLC**  The lawsuit asserts that the Manufacturers conspired, in violation of the federal antitrust laws, to raise, fix, maintain or stabilize the price of Wallboard and, to help further this price-fixing conspiracy, to abolish the industry’s long-standing practice of limiting price increases for the duration of a construction project through the use of “job quotes.” The lawsuit alleges that as a result of the Manufacturers’ alleged conduct, the prices paid for Wallboard were higher than they otherwise would have been. Plaintiffs seek to recover three times the actual damages that they allege the Manufacturers’ conduct caused, as well as attorneys’ fees, expenses and costs. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit or call:**  [**WWW.DRYWALLDIRECTPURCHASERLITIGATION.COM**](http://WWW.DRYWALLDIRECTPURCHASERLITIGATION.COM)  **1-888-706-3401 (Ph.)** |
| **1-29-2018** | **12-CV-169** | **(D.N.J.)** | **In re: Ductile Iron Pipe Fittings (“DIPF”)**  The lawsuit alleges that (i) Defendants entered into price-fixing agreements in the market for DIPF in the United States in violation of antitrust and consumer protection laws, (ii) McWane monopolized the market for Domestic DIPF in the United States in violation of antitrust and consumer protection laws, and (iii) SIGMA and McWane conspired to restrain trade and to monopolize the alleged market for Domestic DIPF in the United States in violation of antitrust and consumer protection laws. The lawsuit claims that, as a result, Plaintiffs paid more for DIPF and Domestic DIPF sold by the Defendants than they otherwise would have paid. | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1(855) 907-3111**  [**www.DIPFIndirectSettlement.com**](http://www.DIPFIndirectSettlement.com) |
| **1-31-2018** | **17-CV-05681** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Mustafa Musa and Trey Hardy v. SOS Security LLC**  This litigation has been brought by Mustafa Musa and Trey Hardy (collectively, the “Class  Representatives”), on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, against SOS alleging violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq. (the “FCRA”). In their Complaint, the Class Representatives seek certification of a nationwide class under the FCRA. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Andrew Frisch**  **Morgan & Morgan**  **600 North Pine Island Road Suite 400**  **Plantation, Florida 33324** |