|  **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name**  **Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **Website Link** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **3-2-2015** | **07-CV-00871** | **(D. Utah)** | **Pamela Miller, et al. v. Basic Research, LLC, et al.**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that the labeling, advertising and marketing of Akävar 20/50 Dietary Supplement Product was misleading to consumers regarding the efficacy of the product. The Class Period is from 11-1-2003 to Final Approval Order. | **7-28-2015** | **For more information visit or write to:**[**www.dynakorsettlement.com**](http://www.dynakorsettlement.com)**Scott R. Shepherd****Shepherd, Finkelman, Miller & Shah, LLP****35 E. State Street****Media, PA 19063** |
| **3-2-2015** | **14-CV-2349** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Franklin v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq., by using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to call cell phones used or subscribed to by Franklin and the putative class members. The Class Period is from 11-1-2009 to 9-17-2014.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or email:****Joshua B. Swigart, Esq.****Hyde & Swigart** **2221 Camino Del Rio South Suite 101****San Diego, CA 92108****619 233-7770 (Ph.)****josh@westcoastlitigation.com** |
| **3-4-2015** | **12-CV-1319** | **(W.D. Okla.)** | **Chieftain Royalty Company v. Laredo Petroleum, Inc.**Royalty owner-plaintiff alleges Laredo and/or the Settling Parties made various deductions and reductions from royalty payments that should not have been made, including, but not limited to, the following: (1) deducting direct and indirect fees for marketing, gathering, compression, dehydration, processing, treatment, and other similar services before gas was “marketable”; (2) not paying royalty on wellhead gas that was used off the lease premises or in the manufacture of products; and (3) not paying royalty on condensate that dropped out of the gas stream. The Class Period is from 1-1-2007 to 5-31-2014. | **4-30-2015**Prepared by Brenda Berkley | **For more information write, call, fax or email:****Robert N. Barnes****Barnes & Lewis, LLP****720 N.W. 50th Street****Suite 200B****Oklahoma City, OK 73118****405 843-0363 (Ph.)****405 843-0790 (Fax)****rbarnes@barneslewis.com** |
| **3-4-2015** | **14-MD-02564** | **(D. Minn.)** | **In re: Life Time Fitness, Inc., Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) Litigation**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Life Time violated the federal TCPA by sending text messages to consumers without their prior express consent. The Class Period is from 1-1-2014 to 4-15-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Shawn J. Wanta****Baillon Thome Jozwiak &** **Wanta LLP****100 South Fifth Street****Suite 1200****Minneapolis, MN 55402** |
| **3-5-2015** | **14-CV-6233** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Steven Long, et al. v. HSBC USA Inc., et al.**Employee-plaintiffs allege that HSBC misclassified employees who worked in Covered Positions as exempt employees who were not entitled to receive overtime pay under state and federal wage and hour laws and failed to pay them overtime premium pay for the time they worked in excess of 40 hours a week. The Class Period is from 12-12-2010 to 1-31-2014.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or visit:****Justin M. Swartz****Deirdre Aaron****Outten & Golden LLP****3 Park Avenue****29th Floor****New York, NY 10016****212 245-1000 (Ph.)****HSBCLoanOfficerSettlement@outtengolden.com** |
| **3-6-2015** | **14-CV-01079** | **(N.D. Ala.)** | **Coates, et al. v. MidFirst Bank, et al.**Supplemental Notice: the Court preliminarily approved the settlement, and scheduled a final approval hearing. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 1-30-2015. | **7-28-2015** | **For more information write to:****Nichols Kaster, PLLP****4600 IDS Center****80 South 8th Street****Minneapolis, MN 55402** |
| **3-6-2015** | **06-CV-701** | **(S.D. Ill.)** | **Anthony Abbott, et al., v. Lockheed Martin Corp., et al.**Participant-plaintiffs allege that the Lockheed Martin Corporation Salaried Savings Plan (“SSP”) and the Lockheed Martin Corporation Hourly Employee Savings Plan Plus (“HSP,” together with SSP, the “Plans”) violated ERISA by allowing the Plans’ record keeper to receive excessive fees from the Plans and by imprudently managing the Stable Value Fund and the company Stock Funds within the Plans. The Class Period is from 9-11-2000 to 12-22-2008. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Schlichter, Bogard &** **Denton****Attn: Lockheed 401(k)** **Settlement****100 S. Fourth Street****Suite 900****St. Louis, MO 63102** |
| **3-7-2015** | **14-CV-319** | **(E.D. Mo.)** | **Carla Rawlings v. the Scotts Company**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Scotts violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”) by using background check reports obtained from a third-party vendor to deny job applicants employment or take other adverse action against them without first providing them a written copy of their report and a summary of their rights under the FCRA. Plaintiff has also contended that Scotts violated the FCRA by using a disclosure form and authorization that did not comply with the requirements of the FCRA. The Class Period is from 2-10-2012 to 2-19-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****C. Jason Brown****Brown & Associates LLC****Attn: Scotts****FCRA Case No 14-CV-319****301 S. U.S. 169 Hwy****Gower, MO 64454** |
| **3-9-2015** | **11-CV-00618** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Rough Rice Commodity Litigation**Purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Defendants’ conduct caused Plaintiff and other similarly situated to transact in an artificial and manipulated the market for Rough Rice Futures Contracts (the “Class Contracts”). Plaintiff further alleges that Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members, who purchased, sold or otherwise traded in Class Contracts at the times that Defendants allegedly manipulated the prices of those contracts and thereafter liquidated their positions in such contracts at artificial prices suffered damages even if such liquidations occurred after the Class Period. Plaintiff also alleges that Defendants’ alleged manipulation constituted a contract, combination or conspiracy to restrain trade and an attempt to manipulate the market for the Class Contracts. The Class Period is from 7-8-2008 to 7-15-2008. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or visit:****Christopher Lovell****Lovell Stewart Halebian** **Jacobson LLP****61 Broadway****Suite 501****New York, NY 10006**[**www.ricefuturessettlement.com**](http://www.ricefuturessettlement.com) |
| **3-10-2015** | **05-CV-6920** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Lovely H., Gloria Q., Michelene N. v. Verna Eggleston, as Administrator/Commissioner of the New York City Human Resources Administration**Recipient-plaintiffs challenged Human Resources Administration’s rules and systems for people with disabilities who received Cash Assistance from Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (“SNAP”) and Medicaid. In the settlement, HRA has agreed to change some of its rules to better help people with disabilities be able to get Cash Assistance and SNAP. The Class Period is from 2-1-2011 to 9-19-2014. | **6-22-2015** | **For more information visit, write or e-mail:**[**www.legal-aid.org**](http://www.legal-aid.org)**The Legal Aid Society****Lovely H. Class Action****199 Water Street****New York, NY 10038****lovely@legal-aid.org** |
| **3-11-2015** | **13-CV-00183** | **(E.D. Va.)** | **In re: Star Scientific, Inc. Securities Litigation**Amended CAFA Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement. This notice serves as an update (final settlement approval hearing) to the previously received notification for the above class action. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 2-6-2015. | **6-22-2015** | **For more information write or call:****Class Action** **Administration, Inc.****6521 West 91st Avenue****Westminster, CO 80031****720 540-4422 (Ph.)** |
| **3-12-2015** | **11-CV-650562** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: HSBC Bank U.S.A., N.A. Checking Account Overdraft Litigation**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that HSBC posted debit transactions to customers’ accounts in highest-to-lowest dollar amount, which Plaintiffs argue improperly results in an increased number of overdraft fees assessed to customers. There are two Class Periods: 1) from 12-17-2004 to 6-30-2010 (Consumer Accounts); 2) from 12-17-2004 to 11-30-2011 (Business Accounts). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Barry Himmelstein****Himmelstein Law Network****2000 Powell Street****Suite 1605****Emeryville, CA 94608** |
| **3-12-2015** | **13-CV-03105** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Jose Rubio-Delgado et al. v. Aerotek, Inc.,**Applicant-plaintiff alleges that Aerotek’s forms “Notice to Applicants Regarding Background Checks and Employee Investigations,” and “Authorization and Release for the Procurement of a Consumer and/or Investigative Background Report,” which were used at the time this lawsuit was filed do not comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”). Plaintiff alleges that Aerotek violated the FCRA by procuring background reports without first giving applicants and employees legally required disclosure forms. The Class Period is from 7-3-2011 to Date of Preliminary Approval. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****E. Michelle Drake****Nichols Kaster, PLLP****4600 IDS Center****80 South 8th Street****Minneapolis, MN 55402** |
| **3-13-2015** | **13-CV-2123** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Tony Benado v. Nielsen Company (U.S.) LLC**Employee-plaintiff alleges that The Nielsen Company (U.S.), LLC violated the California Labor Code, related Wage Orders of the Industrial Welfare Commission, the Fair Labor Standards Act, the California Private Attorney Generals Act and California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 et seq. The Lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the Class Members are owed compensation for overtime hours worked, meal periods, rest breaks, and inaccurate itemized wage statements, and related penalties. The Class Period for California and Nationwide Class Members is from 5-8-2009 to 2-18-2015.  | **6-19-2015** | **For more information write or call:****H. Tim Hoffman, Esq.****300 Lakeside Drive****Suite 1000****Oakland, CA 94612****510 763-5700 (Ph.)** |
| **3-13-2015** | **13-CV-00652** | **(D. Hawaii)** | **Soule v. Hilton Worldwide, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Defendant did not adequately disclose during the booking process on Hilton.com that a Resort Fee would be charged when consumers booked stays at the Hilton Hawaiian Village using a pre-paid rate. The Class Period is from 10-17-2009 to 5-1-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Hassan A. Zavareei** **Jeffrey D. Kaliel****Tycko & Zavareei LLP****2000 L Street, N.W.****Suite 808****Washington, D.C. 20036** |
| **3-16-2015** | **14-CV-00578** | **(W.D. Pa.)** | **Yencha v. ZeoBIT LLC**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that ZeoBIT deceptively advertised and sold MacKepper software as capable of enhancing an Apple Macintosh computer’s speed, performance, and security by detecting and eliminating harmful errors and threats, but that it does not and cannot perform all of the functions advertised. The lawsuit seeks damages for violation of the Pennsylvania Consumer Protection Law, fraud, and for breach of contract. The Class Period will be based on Consumers who purchased MacKeeper on or before [the Preliminary Approval Order].  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Benjamin H. Richman****Edelson PC****350 North LaSalle****Suite 1300****Chicago, Illinois 60654** |
| **3-16-2015** | **12-CV-06677** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Zyburo v. NCSPlus, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that NCSPlus Inc. violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq., by using an automatic telephone dialing system to place calls to cell phones without prior express consent. The Class Period is from 8-31-2008 to 8-31-2012. | **6-25-2015** | **For more information visit:**[**www.NCSPlusLitigationSettlement.com**](http://www.NCSPlusLitigationSettlement.com) |
| **3-16-2015** | **14-CV-01048** | **(E.D.N.Y.)** | **Caroline Castellaw, et al. v. Excelsior College**Student-plaintiffs enrolled in the Associate in Applied Sciences in Nursing degree program at Excelsior College allege unlawful misrepresentations were made by Excelsior College to nursing students who failed the Clinical Performance in Nursing Exam (CPNE) and paid for and were retested for the CPNE again without passing on at least one occasion. The Class Period is from 2-19-2011 to 3-15-2015. | **7-15-2015** | **For more information visit:**[**www.excelsiorclassaction.com**](http://www.excelsiorclassaction.com) |
| **3-17-2015** | **14-CV-1519** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Richard Wade Architects, P.C. v. Lumber Liquidators, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that it received an unsolicited facsimile advertisement sent by Lumber Liquidators promoting its goods or services for sale, and that did not contain an opt-out notice as described in the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227. Plaintiff alleged that the sending of these faxes violated the TCPA, the Illinois Consumer Fraud Act and Illinois common law (conversion, trespass to chattels, and private nuisance). Plaintiff sought to represent a class of persons to whom Lumber Liquidators sent the allegedly unlawful advertising facsimiles. The Class Period is from 9-1-2013 to 3-31-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Edelman, Combs,** **Latturner, & Goodwin,** **LLC (29550)****20 S. Clark Street****Suite 1500****Chicago, IL 60603****312 419-0379 (Ph.)** |
| **3-18-2015** | **14-CV-2522** | **(D. Minn.)** | **In re: Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Target announced that it had been the victim of a criminal attack on its computer network by third-party intruders who stole payment card data from Target shoppers. The intruders also stole personal information such as email and home addresses from other Target customers. Plaintiffs claim that Target did not adequately protect their payment card data and personal information and that Target delayed in providing notice of the data breach. The Class Period is from 11-27-2013 to 12-18-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Vincent J. Esades****Heins Mills & Olson,**  **P.L.C.****310 Clifton Avenue****Minneapolis, MN 55403** |
| **3-18-2015** | **13-CV-05735** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In Alana Karpoff Schwartz v. Intimacy in New York, LLC and Intimacy Management Company, LLC**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Intimacy willfully and intentionally violated the Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act (15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.) (“FACTA”). FACTA requires that all but the last five digits of consumer credit card numbers be deleted from credit card receipts presented to customers at the point of sale, along with the expiration date. Specifically, Schwartz alleges that Intimacy violated FACTA by providing its customers with credit card receipts displaying expiration dates. The Class Period is from 1-1-2012 to [date class is certified]. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Joshua C. Dickinson****Bryant T. Lamer****Spencer Fane Britt &** **Browne LLP****1000 Walnut****Suite 1400****Kansas City, MO 64106****816 474-8100 (Ph.)****816 474-3216 (Fax)** |
| **3-18-2015** | **13-MD-02437** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **In re: Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litigation on behalf of TIN Inc. (“TIN”) and USG Corporation, United States Gypsum Company, and L&W Supply Corporation (collectively, “USG”)**The Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreements on 3-16-2015. The Court has set the final approval hearing. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 2-20-2015. | **7-15-2015** | **For more information write to:** **Labaton Sucharow LLP****James W. Johnson, Esq.****140 Broadway****New York, NY 10005** |
| **3-18-2015** | **14-CV-00195** | **(M.D.N.C.)** | **Brown, et al. v. Delhaize America, LLC, et al.**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) by including extraneous information on their Background Check Authorization forms, and by failing to provide pre-adverse action notice. The Class Period is from 3-7-2012 through the date on which the Class List is generated. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Nichols Kaster, PLLP****Attn: E. Michelle Drake****4600 IDS Center****80 South 8th Street****Minneapolis, MN 55402** |
| **3-19-2015** | **13-CV-0844** | **(E.D. Va.)** | **Borboa, et al. v. Chandler, et al.**Participant-plaintiffs allege that Defendants allowed the imprudent investment of LandAmerica Financial Group, Inc. Savings and Stock Ownership Plan (“Plan”) assets in LandAmerica Stock throughout the Settlement Class Period despite the fact that they knew or should have known that such investment was imprudent because, among other things: (a) the Company’s title insurance operations were devastated by the collapse of the subprime mortgage industry; (b) LandAmerica was exposed to the inherently risky practices of its subsidiary LandAmerica 1031 Exchange Services, Inc., which gambled its survival upon the stability of the auction rate securities market; and (c) the company concealed the truth concerning its rapidly deteriorating condition given the aforementioned. Named Plaintiffs allege that as a result of the above, the Plan and the participants incurred significant losses due to the substantial investments in LandAmerica Stock. The Class Period is from 2-7-2008 to 7-31-2009. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Kessler Topaz Meltzer &** **Check, LLP****Mark K. Gyandoh****280 King of Prussia Road****Radnor, PA 19087****610 667-7706 (Ph.)** |
| **3-19-2015** | **12-CV-00456** | **(W.D.N.C.)** | **Nieman v. Duke Energy Corporation, et al.**Investor-plaintiffs allege that the price of Duke common stock was artificially inflated during the Settlement Class Period as a result of the Settling Defendants’ alleged conduct. Plaintiffs allege that the Settling Defendants made material misrepresentations to investors and concealed their predetermined plan to remove the Chief Executive Officer of the newly combined company resulting from the merger of Duke and Progress Energy Inc. – a longstanding and fundamental term of the merger between the companies. The Class Period is from 6-11-2012 to 7-9-2012. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Tor Gronborg, Esq****Jeffrey D. Light, Esq.****Robbins Geller**  **Rudman & Dowd LLP****655 West Broadway****Suite 1900****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **3-19-2015** | **09-CV-0291****09-CV-0357** | **(M.D. Pa.)** | **William Conway, et al. v. Michael T. Conahan, et al.****H.T., et al. v. Mark A. Ciavarella, et al** Parent/guardian-plaintiffs allege that Robert J. Powell, Vision Holdings, LLC, and the Powel Law Group, P.C. (the “Powell Defendants”) and other defendants violated the Juveniles’ constitutional rights, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”), and/or Pennsylvania law. Class Members are all Juveniles who appeared before former Judge Mark A. Ciavarella, Jr. at any time from 1-1-2003 to 5-28-2008 (Class Period).Note that the proposed settlement, if approved, will also resolve as to the Powell Defendants a number of actions in addition to the Conway and H.T. class actions. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**[**www.kidswinsettlement.com**](http://www.kidswinsettlement.com) |
| **3-20-2015** | **14-CV-01716** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **Christopher Legg, et al. v. E-Z Rent A Car, Inc., a Florida Corporation d/b/a in California as Florida E-Z Rent a Car, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Defendant violated rights by willful and intentional non-compliance with the Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act (“FACTA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1), through the printing of a receipt containing the expiration date of the credit card, in connection with a car rental. The Class Period is from 3-1-2012 to 3-31-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or e-mail:****Kira M. Rubel, Esq.****Law Offices of**  **Kira M. Rubel****555 West Beech Street****Suite 230****San Diego, CA 92101****krubel@kmrlawfirm.com** |
| **3-20-2015** | **11-CV-07866** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: MF Global Holdings Limited Securities Litigation (Deangelis v. Corzine)**Investor-plaintiffs assert claims under §§ 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) against the Underwriter Defendants and/or the Individual Defendants alleging that these Defendants are statutorily liable for false and misleading statements in the offering materials for certain MF Global securities; as well as claims under § 15 of the Securities Act and §§ 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder against some or all of the Individual Defendants. The Class Period is from 8-1-2011 to 11-21-2011. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Shearman & Sterling LLP****Adam S. Hakki, Esq.****599 Lexington Avenue****New York, NY 10022-6069** |
| **3-20-2015** | **14-CV-04425** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Christopher M. Litty v. Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., et al.**Financial Advisor-Trainee (FA)-plaintiffs allege that Defendants misclassified FAs as exempt from federal and state overtime laws and improperly denied them overtime pay, and that Defendants failed to reimburse FAs for all reasonable and necessary business expenses as required by California law. The Class Period is from 1-17-2010 to the Date of Preliminary Approval. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Wolf Haldenstein Adler**  **Freeman & Herz LLP****Attn: Betsy C. Manifold****750 B Street****Suite 2770****San Diego, CA 92101** |
| **3-20-2015** | **13-CV-5211** | **(S.D. W.Va.)** | **Joson Smith v. Res-Care, Inc. (“ResCare”)**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that ResCare did not comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act in the manner in which it used the consumer reports of certain job applicants. Plaintiff alleges that ResCare took adverse action against certain individuals based on information contained in a consumer report without providing those individuals notice and a copy of such report in advance of the adverse action. The Class Period is from 3-15-2008 to 3-15-2013. | **8-10-2015** | **For more information write to:****Matthew A. Dooley****O’Toole, McLaughlin,**  **Dooley & Pecora, Co., LPA****5455 Detroit Road****Sheffield Village, OH** **44054** |
| **3-23-2015** | **13-CV-01797** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Jessie Chavez v. PVH Corporation**Employee-plaintiff alleges that Defendants have acted intentionally and with deliberate indifference and conscious disregard to the rights of all terminated employees in failing to pay final wages in a timely manner and failing to provide proper itemized wage statements pursuant to the California Labor Code. Plaintiff also alleges that Defendants have violated the California Government Code by denying Plaintiff’s medical leave rights and retaliating against Plaintiff for exercising such rights. The Class Period is from 3-20-2009 to 7-17-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information call:****Class Administrator:****1 877 872-3810** |
| **3-26-2015** | **13-CV-02905** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **In re: Honey Transshipping Litigation - Ernest L. Groeb, Troy L. Groeb, Robert Feerick, Marquette Capital Partners, Thomas Jenkins, Horizon Capital Partners III, and the General Unsecured Claims Litigation Trust for Debtor Groeb Farms, Inc. (collectively, “Settling Defendants”)**Beekeeper-plaintiffs allege that Defendants engaged in an unlawful scheme to defraud the U.S. government and the putative class members by unlawfully importing Chinese honey. The complaints alleged violations of the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”), along with other claims, including violations of the Lanham Act and state laws. The Class Period is from 2001 to present.  | **10-2-2015** | **For more information visit, write, call or e-mail:**[**www.honeytransshippinglitigation.com**](http://www.honeytransshippinglitigation.com)**Adam J. Levitt****Grant & Eisenhoffer P.A.****30 North LaSalle Street****Suite 2350****Chicago, Illinois 60602****312 214-0000****312 214-0001****alevitt@gelaw.com** |
| **3-26-2015** | **13-CV-2132** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **William Hopwood v. Nuance Communications, Inc.**Consumer-plaintiff alleges that Nuance authorized Infinity Contact, Inc. (“Infinity”) to make telemarketing calls to consumers’ cell phones for the purpose of promoting Nuance products. The lawsuit alleges that Nuance and Infinity violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act because some consumers, including consumers who had placed their cell phone numbers on the Do-Not-Call list, did not agree to receive these calls. The Class Period is from 5-8-2009 to date of preliminary approval. | **Not set yet** | **For more information call:****1 866 354-3015 (Ph.)** |
| **3-26-2015** | **13-CV-1336** | **(W.D. Mich.)** | **John P. Hunter v. Stenger & Stenger, P.C. and Midwest Recovery Group, LLC.**Judgment debtor-plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated state and federal law by initiating garnishments against Michigan judgment debtors in which Defendants included in the stated amount of the judgment certain costs that were actually incurred by Defendants but which Plaintiff contends were not recoverable, or had not been determined to be recoverable at the time they were added to the judgment balance. Plaintiff asserts that such acts render Defendants liable for statutory damages under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) and for refunds and account adjustments under Michigan law. The Class Period is from 12-13-2007 to the Preliminary Approval Date.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or e-mail:****Phillip C. Rogers****40 Pearl Street, N.W.****Suite 336****Grand Rapids, MI 49503****ConsumerLawyer@aol.com** |
| **3-27-2015** | **12-MN-00001** | **(D.S.C.)** | **In re: MI Windows and Doors, LLC**Supplemental Notice: The Court has scheduled a Hearing for Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement. For more information see CAFA Notice dated 2-5-2015. | **6-30-2015** | **For more information call or visit:****1 888 668-8198 (Ph.)**[**www.MIWDTapeGlazedWindowSettlement.com**](http://www.MIWDTapeGlazedWindowSettlement.com) |
| **3-30-2015** | **12-MD-02311****13-CV-01303****13-CV-01603****13-CV-01703** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation****In re: Switches****In re: Steering Angle Sensors****In re: HID Ballasts**End-payor-plaintiffs allege that Defendants Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North America (together, the “Panasonic Defendants” or “Panasonic”), Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Automotive Systems, Ltd., Hitachi Automotive Systems America, Inc. (together, the “Hitachi Defendants” or Hitachi”) and unnamed co-conspirators, manufacturers and/or suppliers of Steering Angle Sensors engaged in a long-running conspiracy to unlawfully fix, artificially raise, maintain and/or stabilize prices, rig bids for, and allocate the market and customers in the United States for Steering Angle Sensors. The three Class Periods are:1) Switches – from 1-1-2000; 2) Steering Angle Sensor(s) - from 9-1-2000; and 3) HID Ballasts - from 7-1-1998, to the Execution Date (purchased or leased). | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or e-mail:****Eva W. Cole****Counsel for Defendants** **212 294-4609 (ph.)****ewcole@winston.com.** |
| **3-30-2015** | **07-CV-03252** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Chris Beckham, et al., v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al.**Loan officers-plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Fair Labor Standards Act (the “FLSA”) and or denied minimum wage, overtime, meal and/or rest period pay required under California, Illinois, New York and Wisconsin labor laws. Plaintiffs also allege that they and other Loan Officers were misclassified as exempt employees and were erroneously denied minimum wage and overtime for hours that they worked over forty in a workweek under the FLSA. The Class Period is from 2-7-2007 to 8-6-2007. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or visit:****Outten & Golden LLP****3 Park Avenue, 29th Floor****New York, NY 10016****212 245-1000 (Ph.)****AHMSettlement@outtengolden.com****Nichols Kaster PLLP****One Embarcadero Center****Suite 720** **San Francisco, CA 94111****Attention: Matt Helland****415 277-7239 (Ph.)****helland@nka.com** |
| **3-31-2015** | **12-MD-02311****13-CV-01302****13-CV-01602****13-CV-01702** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation****In re: Switches****In re: Steering Angle Sensors****In re: HID Ballasts**Automobile dealer-plaintiffs allege that Defendants DENSO Corporation, DENSO International America, Inc. (together, the “Denso Defendants” or “DENSO”); Ichikoh Industries, Ltd. (“Ichikoh”); Panasonic Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North America (together, the “Panasonic Defendant” or “Panasonic”); Stanley Electric Co., Ltd., Stanley Electric U.S. Co., Inc., II Stanley Co., Inc. (together, the “Stanley electric Defendants” or “Stanley”); Koito Manufacturing Co., Ltd., North American Lighting, Inc. (together, the “Koito Defendants” or “Koito”); Mitsubishi Electric US Holdings, Inc. (together, the “Mitsubishi Defendants” or “Mitsubishi”) (collective, “Defendants”), and unnamed co-conspirators, manufacturers and/or suppliers of automotive high intensity discharge ballasts (referred to herein as “HID Ballasts”) engaged in a long-running conspiracy to unlawfully fix, artificially raise, maintain and/or stabilize prices, rig bids for, and allocate the market and customers in the United States for HID Ballasts. The three Class Periods are: 1) Switches – from 1-1-2000; 2) Steering Angle Sensor(s)- from 9-1-2000; and 3) HID Ballasts – from 7-1-1998, to the Execution Date (purchased or leased). | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or e-mail:****Eva W. Cole****Counsel for Defendants** **212 294-4609 (ph.)****ewcole@winston.com****.** |
| **3-31-2015** | **12-CV-01203** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **City of Austin Police Retirement Systems v. Kinross Gold**Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated under Section 10(b). The allegations concern: (1) the amount by which shares of Kinross common stock were allegedly artificially inflated during the Class Period; (2) the effect of various market forces on the price of Kinross common stock at various time during the Class Period; (3) the extent to which external factors, such as general market and industry conditions, influenced the price of Kinross common stock at various times during the Class Period; (4) the extent to which the various public statements that Lead Plaintiff alleged were materially false or misleading influenced the price of Kinross common stock at various times during the Class Period; (5) whether allegedly adverse material facts that Lead Plaintiff alleged were omitted influenced (if at all) the price of Kinross common stock; (6) whether the statements made or facts allegedly omitted were material, false, misleading, or otherwise actionable under the federal securities laws; and (7) whether the market for Kinross common stock was efficient. The Class Period is from 8-11-2011 to 1-16-2016. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or visit:****U. Seth Ottensoser****Michael S. Bigin****Laurence J. Hasson****Bernstein Liebhard LLP****10 East 40th Street****28th Floor****New York, NY 10016****212 779-1414 (Ph.)****info@bernlieb.com** |