| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name**  **Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **Website Link** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **7-1-2015** | **14-CV-02227** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Eric Hoffman, et al. v. Peg Perego U.S.A., Inc.**  Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Defendant violated California law, and other state laws, by improperly labeling and selling its children’s riding vehicles as being “Made in USA” when doing so was prohibited by California and/or federal statute. The Class Period is from 8-11-2010 to 12-31-2014. | **10-15-2015** | Prepared by Brenda Berkley  **For more information write or call:**  **John H. Donboli**  **Del Mar Law Group, LLP**  **12250 El Camino Real**  **Suite 120**  **San Diego, CA 92130**  **858 793-6244 (Ph.)** |
| **7-2-2015** | **12-CV-08499** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Corson, et al. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., et al.**  Consumer-plaintiffs allege that the electric power steering (“EPS”) system in certain model year 2009 and 2010 Toyota Corolla vehicles (excluding XRS grade) “can cause vehicles to wander or drift from center at highway speeds and/or suddenly veer to one direction during normal use.” As a result, the lawsuit pursues claims for breach of warranties and violations of various state consumer protection statues, among other claims. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **John F. Edgar**  **Edgar Law Firm LLC**  **1032 Pennsylvania Ave.**  **Kansas City, MO 64105**  **James Shah**  **Shepherd, Finkelman,**  **Miller & Shah LLP**  **475 White Horse Pike**  **Collingswood, NJ 08107** |
| **7-2-2015** | **13-CV-07747** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Robert Thomas, et al. v. Lennox Industries Inc.**  Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Lennox manufactures and sells air conditioning systems and heat pump systems, including air handlers and Packaged Units, containing defective evaporator coils that corrode and leak refrigerant. Plaintiffs also alleged that the evaporator coils, which are made using copper tubing, are susceptible to formicary corrosion (caused by a chemical reaction requiring certain volatile organic compounds, water, heat, and the copper tubes) that causes microscopic tunnels to form within the tubing and the coils to leak refrigerant. It is further alleged that Lennox: (1) knew or should have known about the defective evaporator coils; (2) should have informed its customers about the defective evaporator coils; (3) should have manufactured its ACs with evaporator coils made with coated copper or aluminum tubing; and (4) should have replaced the defective evaporator coils rather than the refrigerant in the unit when the coils leaked. The Class Period is from 10-29-2007 to preliminary approval date. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Jonathan Shub**  **Neil Glazer**  **Kohn Swift & Graf, P.C.**  **One South Broad Street Suite 2100**  **Philadelphia, PA 19107**  **Jeff Leon**  **Quantum Legal Group, LLC**  **513 Central Avenue**  **Suite 300**  **Highland Park, IL 60035** |
| **7-6-2015** | **12-MD-2335** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Louisiana Municipal Police Employees’ Retirement Systems v. The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation (“BNYM”), et al.**  Purchaser-plaintiff asserts claims under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange  Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, against Defendants  BNYM and Robert P. Kelly, Bruce W. Van Saun, Thomas P. Gibbons, Jorge Rodriguez, Michael K. Hughey, and John A. Park (collectively, the “Individual Defendants” and, together with BNYM, the “Defendants”) under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act against the Individual Defendants. Among other things, the Complaint alleges that Defendants made materially false and misleading statements and omissions concerning BNYM’s standing instruction foreign-exchange business and revenues derived therefrom, which caused the price of BNYM common stock to be artificially inflated during the class period. The Class Period is from 2-28-2008 to 10-4-2011. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Bernstein Litowitz Berger**  **& Grossmann LLP**  **John C. Browne, Esq.**  **1285 Ave. of the Americas**  **New York, NY 10019** |
| **7-7-2015** | **14-CV-01126** | **(E.D. Wis.)** | **Cynthia Oravecz, et al. v. Cavalry Portfolio Services, LLC, et al.**  Consumer-plaintiffs allege that Cavalry Portfolio Services, LLC, sent debt collection letters regarding an alleged debt owed to Cavalry SPV I, LLC and that the letters violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”) and the Wisconsin Consumer Act (“WCA”) by stating that Cavalry Portfolio Services, LLC was licensed by the Division of Banking in the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions (“DFI”), when it was not. The Class is described as all residents of Wisconsin who, since 9-15-2013, received a collection letter from Cavalry Portfolio Services, LLC, stating that Cavalry was licensed by the Division of Banking, Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions. | **10-29-2015** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Shpetim Ademi**  **Mark A. Eldridge**  **Ademi & O’Reilly, LLP**  **3620 E. Layton Avenue**  **Cudahy, WI 53110**  **414 727-2814 (Ph.)**  **414 482-8000 (Fax)** |
| **7-9-2015** | **14-CV-00677** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Ford, et. al. v. CEC Entertainment, Inc.**  Employee-plaintiffs allege that, as part of the hiring process, Defendant acquired and used various types of background check reports (consumer reports, investigative consumer reports and consumer credit reports) without complying with the disclosure and authorization requirements of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”) and California law. The Class Action Complaint alleges claims for: (i) violation of 15 U.S.C. sections 1681(b)(2)(A) and 1681(a) (Fair Credit Reporting Act); (ii) violation of California Civil Code section 1785 et seq. (Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act), and (iii) violation of California Civil Codes section 1786 et seq. (Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act). The Class Period is from 3-24-2012 to preliminary approval date. | **12-3-2015** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **Jordan L. Lurie**  **Robert K. Friedl**  **Tarek H. Zhody**  **Cody R. Padgett**  **Capstone Law APC**  **1840 Century Park East**  **Suite 450**  **Los Angeles, CA 90067**  **310 556-4811 (Ph.)**  **310 943-0396 (Fax)** |
| **7-9-2015** | **15-CV-119** | **(S.D. Iowa)** | **Krystal M. Anderson v. Principal Life Insurance Company; Benefits Plan Administrative Committee; and Benefits Plans Investment Committee**  Investor-plaintiffs allege thatDefendants violated the federal Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), by failing to comply with their responsibilities under ERISA to the Plans and participants of the Plans in the management of the Plans. The Plans participant who filed the lawsuit (“Plaintiff”) claims that Defendants acted improperly by selecting and maintaining proprietary Principal Life investment option in the Plans and charging excessive fees, paid to Principal Life, for the Plans’ administrative services. As a result, Plaintiff claims, participants of the Plans paid higher fees and obtained less return on their investment. The Class Period is from 8-7-2008 to date of preliminary approval order. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Gregory Y. Porter**  **Bailey & Glasser LLP**  **910 17th Street, N.W.**  **Suite 800**  **Washington, DC 20006** |
| **7-9-2015** | **12-CV-00659**  **12-CV-05407** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Valerie Brooks v. Life Care Centers of America, Inc., et al.**  **Maria Guadalupe Irizarry v. Life Care Centers of America, Inc., et al.**  Employee-plaintiffs allege, on behalf of themselves and all California non-exempt employees, claims under the California Labor Code, the California Business and Professions Code and California Wage Orders for failure to provide meal and rest periods, failure to pay overtime compensation and minimum wage, failure to pay reporting time wages, failure to pay business expenses, failure to pay wages due at the time of termination and failure to provide accurate wage statements and maintain required records, violation of the Private Attorney General Act (“PAGA”), as well as unlawful business practices. Plaintiffs amended their Complaints to name Garden Grove Medical Investors Limited Partnership, Vista Medical Investors Limited Partnership and Escondido Medical Investors Limited Partnership as defendants and assert claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act for unpaid overtime and minimum wage. The Class Period is from 3-27-2008 to date of preliminary approval order. | **9-21-2015** | **For more information write or call:**  **Mahoney Law Group, APC**  **Kevin Mahoney**  **Sean M. Blakely**  **249 East Ocean Boulevard**  **Suite 814**  **Long Beach, CA 90802**  **562 590-5550 (Ph.)**  **Rastegar Law Group, APC**  **Farzad Rastegar**  **Joshua Lange**  **1010 Crenshaw Boulevard**  **Suite 100**  **Torrance, CA 90501**  **310 961-9600 (Ph.)** |
| **7-9-2015** | **12-CV-2121** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Glenn Freedman v. Weatherford International, Ltd., Bernard J. Duroc-Danner and Andrew P. Becnel (collectively the “Defendants”)**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiff asserting claims under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Rule 10-5, promulgated thereunder against all Defendants, and claims under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act against the Individual Defendants. The claims relate to the Company’s restatements of certain financial information (the “Restatements”) and a disclosed material weakness in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting for income tax accounting. The Consolidated Complaint further alleges that Defendants made false and misleading statements in connection with: (i) the accuracy and reliability of the Restatements and the Company’s financial statements, and (ii) Defendants’ assertions that the Company’s financial statements were prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Class Period is from 3-2-2011 to 7-24-2012. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Ira A. Schochet**  **Labaton Sucharow LLP**  **140 Broadway**  **New York, NY 10005**  **Javier Bleichmar**  **Bleichmar, Fonti,**  **Bountas & Auld LLP**  **7 T imes Square**  **New York, NY 10036** |
| **7-9-2015** | **14-CV-09786** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **In re: Walgreen Company Stockholder Litigation**  Shareholders-plaintiffs allege that Walgreen Co., Walgreens Boots Alliance, Inc., and other defendants violated federal securities laws and breached, and/or aided and abetted other Defendants in breaching, their fiduciary duties to Walgreen and its shareholders in connection with the public disclosures relating to the Reorganization and the Step 2 Acquisition. Among other things, Plaintiffs sought to enjoin a Walgreen stockholder vote to approve the Reorganization and the Step 2 Acquisition unless and until Defendants remedied the alleged disclosure deficiencies in the Company’s public filings. The Class Period is from 8-5-2014 to 12-31-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Gustavo F. Bruckner**  **POMERANTZ LLP**  **600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor**  **New York, NY 10016** |
| **7-9-2015** | **13-CV-12536** | **(D. Mass.)** | **Garcia, et al. v. E.J. Amusements of New Hampshire**  Employee-plaintiffs allege violations of the Massachusetts wage laws (where much of the work was performed) and New Hampshire wage laws (the state in which the defendants are located), specifically that Defendants have violated Mass. Gen. L. Chapters 149 and 151, and New Hampshire St. § 279.21, and are liable to the workers for minimum wage violations, overtime violations, and unreimbursed expenses. Plaintiffs bring these claims on behalf of themselves and all other individuals who have been employed by the Defendants and have not been paid overtime or minimum wage, as well as individuals who have been required to pay for expenses that should have been borne by Defendants. The Class Period is from 6-17-2007 to date of preliminary approval. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or email:**  **Shannon Liss-Riordan**  **Matthew Thomson**  **Lichten & Liss-Riordan,**  **P.C.**  **729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000**  **Boston, MA 02116**  **617 994-5800 (Ph.)**  [**www.llrlaw.com**](http://www.llrlaw.com)  [**sliss@llrlaw.com**](mailto:sliss@llrlaw.com)    [**mthomson@llrlaw.com**](mailto:mthomson@llrlaw.com) |
| **7-10-2015** | **15-CV-409** | **(M.D.N.C.)** | **David Scott Cecil, et al. v. W. Eric Hinshaw, et al.**  Participant-plaintiffs allege that W. Eric Hinshaw (“Mr. Hinshaw”), George T. McLamb (“Mr. McLamb”), and Thomas McLean (“Mr. McLean”) (collectively, “Defendants”) breached certain fiduciary duties owed to the Kingsdown, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan and the Plan’s participants under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (“ERISA). The Class Period is from 1-1-2010 to 12-31-2011. | **9-14-2015** | **For more information write to:**  **Norris A. Adams, II**  **Essex Richards, P.A.**  **1701 S. Boulevard**  **Charlotte, NC 28203** |
| **7-10-2015** | **14-CV-00159** | **(E.D. Mo.)** | **John Prater, et al. v. MediCredit, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227, by placing non-emergency telephone calls to the cellular telephones of consumers, including Plaintiffs’, using an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice. The Class Period is from 1-28-2010 to date of preliminary approval. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [www.pratertcpasettlement.com](http://www.pratertcpasettlement.com) |
| **7-10-2015** | **11-CV-5845** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Mark Nunex, Rodney Brye, Shameik Smallwood, Travis Woods, Oscar Sanders, Ralph Nunez, Keith Bacote, Jose Degros, Christopher Graham, Sonny Ortiz, Clifford Sewell and Leslie Pickering v. The City of New York, et al.**  Inmate-plaintiffs allege that the New York City Department of Corrections (“DOC”) engaged in a pattern and practice of using unnecessary and excessive force against inmates in violation of their rights, and the rights of the members of the Plaintiff Class, under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution and the Constitution and laws of the State of New York. The Class Members are defined as all present and future inmates confined in jails operated by the New York City DOC. | **10-21-2015** | **For more information write, call or email:**  **Jonathan S. Chasan**  **Mary Lynne Werlwas**  **Legal Aid Society**  **199 Water Street**  **3rd Floor**  **New York, NY 10038**  **212 577-3520 (Ph.)**  [**jchasan@legal-aid.org**](mailto:jchasan@legal-aid.org)  [**mlwerlwas@legal-aid.org**](mailto:mlwerlwas@legal-aid.org)**.** |
| **7-10-2015** | **13-CV-4564** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Bais Yaakov of Spring Valley v. Richmond, The American International University in London, Inc. Richmond and American Institute for Foreign Study, Inc. (“AIFS”)**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants Richmond and AIFS sent tens of thousands of fax advertisements to it and other persons in the  United States in violation of the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act (the “TCPA”) and Federal Communication Commission (“FCC”) regulations issued pursuant to the TCPA. As pertinent to this lawsuit, the TCPA generally prohibits the sending of unsolicited fax advertisements, and the FCC regulations issued pursuant to the TCPA require that all other fax advertisements must contain a specific type of notice informing recipients how they can opt out of receiving unwanted fax advertisements in the future. The Class Period for Richmond fax advertisements is from 7-1-2009 to 7-1-2013 and for AIFS fax advertisements is from 8-22-2010 to 8-22-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Aytan Y. Bellin**  **Bellin & Associates**  **50 Main Street**  **Suite 1000**  **White Plains, NY 10606** |
| **7-13-2015** | **14-CV-00169** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Benjamin Castro v. Continental Airlines, Inc.**  Employee-plaintiff alleges that Defendant failed to provide proper itemized wage statements to all California employees and that, as a result, the Company’s action violated the California Labor Code and the California Private Attorneys General Act, Cal. Labor Code section 2698 et seq., entitling Class Members to penalties. The Class Period is from 11-27-2012 to 12-31-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Diversity Law Group, P.C.**  **550 S. Hope Street**  **Suite 2655**  **Los Angeles, CA 90071** |
| **7-15-2015** | **14-CV-1037** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Amish Patel and Jesse Cowan v. Axesstel, Inc.**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege violations of the federal securities laws (specifically, Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Act of 1934) against Defendants. Axessel is a Nevada Corporation that trades on the OTC Markets Group (OTCQB), with its principal executive offices located at 16815 Flanders Drive, Suite 210 San Diego, California 92121. The Company provides wireless voice, broadband access, and connected home solutions for the telecommunications market worldwide. Plaintiffs allege that, during the Settlement Class Period, Axesstel’s stock price was artificially inflated as a result of a series of untrue or materially misleading misrepresentations and omissions concerning Axesstel’s financial condition.  The Class Period is from 2-28-2013 to 10-17-2013. | **10-15-2015** | **For more information write call:**  **Lionel Z. Glancy Glancy Prongay &**  **Murray LLP**  **1925 Century Park East**  **Suite 2100**  **Los Angeles, CA 90067** |
| **7-15-2015** | **13-CV-03105** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **In re: Zydus Unsolicited Fax Litigation**  Plaintiff alleges that Zydus and other Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by sending, or causing to be sent on Zydus’s behalf, unsolicited fax ads to Plaintiff and the Settlement Class. The TCPA provides for a statutory penalty of $500 for each violation and a $1500 penalty for willful violations. The Class Members are described as all individuals or entities who, up to the date of preliminary approval of this settlement, were sent one or more facsimile advertisements from or on behalf of Defendant Zydus Pharmaceuticals (USA) Inc. or who own the fax machines to which the facsimiles were sent. | **12-15-2015** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.PharmaceuticalsTCPASettlement.com**](http://www.PharmaceuticalsTCPASettlement.com) |
| **7-15-2015** | **12-CV-277** | **(D.N.J.)** | **In re: Ductile Iron Pipe Fittings (“DIPF”) Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation (McWane, Inc., and its owned divisions Clow Water Systems Co., Tyler Pipe Company, and Tyler Union (collectively, “McWane”), Sigma Corporation and its owned subsidiary SIGMA Piping Products Corporation (collectively, “SIGMA”), and Star Pipe Products, Ltd. (“Star”) (collectively, “Defendants”)**  Direct-purchaser-plaintiffs allege unlawful conspiracies: 1) by Defendants to fix, raise, maintain and stabilize prices for ductile iron pipe fittings (“DIPF”) that they sold directly to Plaintiffs and other class members which began 1-2008 and continued through 5-2009, and 2) by Defendants McWane and Sigma to monopolize and unreasonably restrain trade and fix prices in the Domestic DIPF Market, which began as early as 9-17-2009 and continued to 1-3-2012. Plaintiffs also allege that Defendant McWane monopolized the Domestic DIPF Market from 2-17-2009 through the present. As a result of Defendants’ unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Classes have paid supra-competitive, artificially inflated prices for DIPF. The Class Period is from 1-11-2008 to 6-30-2011. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Robert N. Kaplan**  **Kaplan Fox &**  **Kilsheimer LLP**  **850 Third Avenue**  **14th Floor**  **New York, NY 10022**  **212 687-1980 (Ph.)**  [**rkaplan@kaplanfox.com**](mailto:rkaplan@kaplanfox.com) |
| **7-16-2015** | **14-CV-5101** | **(E.D.N.Y.)** | **Keith Thomas, et al. v. Fresh Direct, LLC, et al. (Fresh Direct, LLC, Fresh Direct Holdings, Inc., and U.T.F. Trucking, Inc. (“Defendants”))**  Applicant-plaintiff alleges that Defendants willfully violated the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2), because they did not make a clear and conspicuous disclosure in writing that a consumer report would be obtained for employment purposes, in a document that consists solely of the disclosure. The Class Period is from 8-27-2012 to 3-31-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Douglas Lipsky**  **BRONSON LIPSKY LLP**  **630 Third Avenue**  **Fifth Floor**  **New York, NY 10017-6705** |
| **7-17-2015** | **14-CV-00965** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **Shaun Brandewie, et al. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.**  Purchaser-plaintiffs allege that Wal-Mart at times gave a refund or credit on a product purchased from a Wal-Mart or Sam’s Club retail location within the United States or online from Walmart.com or Samsclub.com for delivery within the United States, and the amount of sales tax refunded or credited was less than the full amount of sales tax paid at the time the product was purchased. The Class Period is from 5-2-2010 to date of preliminary approval order. | **12-17-2015** | **For more information visit or call:**  [**www.WalMartSalesTaxSettlement.com**](http://www.WalMartSalesTaxSettlement.com)  **1 844-239-6705 (Ph.)** |
| **7-20-2015** | **11-CV-07866** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: MF Global Holdings Limited Securities Litigation**  Underwriter-investor-plaintiff alleges that: a) Jon S. Corzine, J. Randy MacDonald, and Henri J. Steenkamp (collectively, the “Officer Defendants”), and b) David P. Bolger, Eileen S. Fusco, David Gelber, Martin J.G. Glynn, Edward L. Goldberg, David I. Schamis, and Robert Sloan (collectively, the “Director Defendants), violated the federal securities laws by, among other things, making false and misleading statements regarding MF Global Holdings Limited (“MF Global”) or were statutorily liable for false and misleading statements in MF Global’s offering materials for certain MF Global securities. The Class Period is from 5-20-2010 to 11-21-2011. | **11-20-2015** | **For more information write to:**  **Bernstein Litowitz Berger**  **& Grossmann LLP**  **Salvatore J. Graziano**  **1285 Avenue of the**  **Americas**  **New York, NY 10019**  **Bleichmar Fonti Tountas &**  **Auld LLP**  **Javier Bleichmar**  **7 Times Square**  **27th Floor**  **New York, NY 10036** |
| **7-21-2015** | **9-CV-03701** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Fort Worth Employees’ Retirement Fund v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., et al.**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiffs allege, in connection with the sale and/or issuance of certain residential mortgage-backed securities by J.P. Morgan entities, that the offering documents for the securities contained false and misleading statements about the underlying borrowers and collateral.  The Class Members are all persons or entities who, prior to 3-12-2009, purchased or otherwise acquired any certificates in any of the Offerings.“Offerings” means J.P. Morgan Alternative Loan Trust 2007-A2; J.P. Morgan Alternative Loan Trust 2007-S1; J.P. Morgan Mortgage Acquisition Trust 2007-CH3; J.P. Morgan  Mortgage Acquisition Trust 2007-CH4; J.P. Morgan Mortgage Acquisition Trust 2007-CH5; J.P. Morgan Mortgage Trust Mortgage 2007-A3; J.P. Morgan Mortgage Trust Mortgage 2007-A4; J.P. Morgan Mortgage Trust Mortgage 2007-S2; and J.P. Morgan Mortgage Trust Mortgage 2007-S3. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [www.JPMorganRMBSlitigation.com](http://www.JPMorganRMBSlitigation.com) |
| **7-22-2015** | **12-CV-00281** | **(N.D. Ala.)** | **In re: Walter Energy, Inc. Securities Litigation**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that Defendants made materially false and misleading statements and failed to state certain facts regarding the Company’s operating condition and financial prospects. The complaint further alleges that Defendants misrepresented that Walter Energy was poised to take advantage of, among other things: (1) a strong coal market based upon favorable tonnage pricing trends in the second quarter of 2011; (2) its U.S. operations’ production of high quality coal, in particular the production capabilities of its flagship number 7 mine  (“Mine No. 7”) in Alabama; and (3) benefits that it would achieve through its newly acquired and expanding Canadian operations, including the use of the Falling Creek connector road between Walter Energy’s Brule and Willow Creek coal mines in British Columbia that would allow for more efficient transport of coal to its soon-to be expanded Willow Creek facility for processing. The Class Period is from 4-20-2011 to 9-21-2011. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  Andrew J. Brown  Robbins Geller  Rudman & Dowd LLP  655 West Broadway  Suite 1900  San Diego, CA 92101  Andrew L. Zivitz  Johnston de F.  Whitman, Jr.  Kessler Topaz Meltzer &  Check, LLP  280 King of Prussia Road  Radnor, PA 1908 |
| **7-22-2015** | **13-CV-1410** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Megan Rafferty, Debra Haynes, Leason Jacob, and Diana Gray v. Robert Doar, in his capacity as Commissioner of the New York City Human Resources Administration; Kristin Proud, in her capacity as Acting Commissioner of the New York State Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance; Nirav Shah, M.D., in his capacity as Commissioner of the New York City Department of Health; the New York City Human Resources Administration; the New York State Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance; and the New York City Department of Health**  Applicant-plaintiffs allege that the above agencies violated the Americans with Disabilities Act and related federal and local civil rights laws by failing to make applications, notices, publications, and other documents available in alternate formats for individuals who are blind or seriously visually impaired and who are attempting to access Medicaid and SNAP (Food Stamps) benefits.  The Class Members are “All New York City residents who: (1) have visual impairments that substantially limit the major activities of seeing or otherwise have a visual disability as ‘disability’ is defined under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; (2) are current or future applicants for or recipients of SNAP and/or Medicaid benefits; and (3) need written materials in alternative formats for effective communication regarding SNAP and Medicaid. | **10-1-2015** | **For more information write or call:**  **Greg Bass**  **National Center for Law**  **and Economic Justice**  **275 Seventh Avenue**  **Suite 1506**  **New York, NY 10001-6708**  **212 633-6967 (Ph.)**  **Joshua M Link**  **Michael P. Daly**  **Drinker Biddle & Reath**  **LLP**  **One Logan Square**  **Suite 2000**  **Philadelphia, PA 19103-**  **6999**  **215 988-2700 (Ph.)** |
| **7-23-2015** | **14-CV-00734** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Ann Fox v. Asset Acceptance, LLC**  Plaintiff alleges that Asset Acceptance, LLC, the Defendant in this lawsuit, violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by calling persons on their cell phones using an automatic telephone dialing system (“Autodialer”) or artificial or prerecorded voice, without prior express consent. The Class Period is from 4-17-2009 to 9-4-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Hyde & Swigart**  **Joshua B. Swigart**  **2221 Camino Del Rio South**  **Suite 101**  **San Diego, CA 92108** |
| **7-24-2015** | **12-CV-00169** | **(D.N.J.)** | **In re: Ductile Iron Pipe Fittings (“DIPF) Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation** SIGMA Corporation sells DIPF imported primarily from China and India, and domestically manufactured by co-defendant McWane, Inc. (“McWane”).  Indirect-purchaser-plaintiffs allege that SIGMA violated the federal antitrust laws and the antitrust and consumer protection statutes of various states (i) by participating in an alleged conspiracy with McWane and Star Pipe Products, Ltd., to fix DIPF prices from 1-11-2008 to 6-30-2011, and (ii) by participating in a second alleged conspiracy with McWane to monopolize and fix prices in the domestic DIPF market from 9-17-2009 to 12-31-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Joseph C. Kohn**  **Kohn Swift & Graf, P.C.**  **One South Broad Street**  **Suite 2100**  **Philadelphia, PA 19107**  **215 238-1700 (Ph.)**  **Robert S. Kitchenoff**  **Weinstein Kitchenoff & Asher LLC**  **1845 Walnut Street**  **Suite 1100**  **Philadelphia, PA 19103**  **215 545-7200 (Ph.)** |
| **7-24-2015** | **12-CV-00169** | **(D.N.J.)** | **In re Ductile Iron Pipe Fittings (“DIPF”) Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation**  Pursuant to Section 3 of the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (“CAFA”), 28 U.S.C. § 1715, Star Pipe Products, Ltd. (“Star”) provides notification of the proposed settlement between Star and the Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action (the “Action”) currently pending before the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey (the “Court”). Plaintiffs filed a motion on 7-15-2015 requesting preliminary approval of the proposed settlement with Star. For more information see CAFA above. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Joseph C. Kohn**  **Kohn Swift & Graf, P.C.**  **One South Broad Street**  **Suite 2100**  **Philadelphia, PA 19107**  **215 238-1700 (Ph.)** |
| **7-28-2015** | **13-CV-5852** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **In re: Tower Group International, Ltd. Securities Litigation**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that the Tower Defendants materially misrepresented Tower’s financial health, including among other things, by understating its loss reserves and masking related internal control deficiencies. The Class Period is from 3-1-2010 to 12-17-2013. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Bernstein Litowitz Berger**  **& Grossmann LLP**  **James A. Harrod**  **Niki L. Mendoza**  **1285 Avenue of the Americas**  **New York, NY 10019**  **866 648-2524 (Ph.)**  [**blbg@blbglaw.com**](mailto:blbg@blbglaw.com) |
| **7-29-2014** | **12-CV-5980** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Hewlett-Packard Company Securities Litigation**  Securities-purchaser-plaintiff allegesthat the price of HP’s publicly traded common stock was artificially inflated during the Settlement Class Period as a result of alleged false and misleading statements concerning HP’s  acquisition of Autonomy Corporation plc (“Autonomy”), including statements regarding  Autonomy’s value and the reasons for Autonomy’s poor performance after its acquisition. The proposed Settlement, if approved by the Court, will settle claims of all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired HP’s publicly traded common stock. The Class Period is from 8-19-2011 to 11-20-2012. | **11-13-2015** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.HPSecuritiesLitigationSettlement.com**](http://www.HPSecuritiesLitigationSettlement.com)**.** |
| **7-30-2015** | **14-CV-00645** | **(D. Ore.)** | **Ott v. Mortgage Investors Corporation of Ohio, Inc. (“MIC”)**  Plaintiff alleges that on or after 4-18-2010 (Class Period) MIC violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by using an automatic telephone dialing system to make calls to consumers’ cell phones and by calling telephone numbers even when those numbers were on the National Do-Not-Call Registry. The Class Representatives claim that MIC did not have the consumers’ permission to make these calls. | **11-24-2015** | **For more information call:**  **Settlement Administrator**  **1 888 557-3480** |
| **7-31-2015** | **10-MD-2196** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **In re: Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation (Vitafoam, Inc. and Vitafoam Products Canada Limited (“Vitafoam”) (“Defendants”)**  Indirect-purchaser-plaintiff alleges that manufacturers of flexible polyurethane foam (which is used in upholstered furniture, carpet underlay, and bedding products, such as mattresses, mattress toppers, or pillows) conspired to raise the prices of flexible polyurethane foam. Plaintiff contends that Defendants’ actions violated numerous state antitrust and consumer protection laws. The Class Period is from 4-9-2014 to 4-16-2014. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.PolyFoamClassAction.com**](http://www.PolyFoamClassAction.com) |
| **7-31-2015** | **13-CV-00727** | **(D. Minn.)** | **Ameer A. Hashw v. Department Stores National Bank and FDS Bank**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by placing calls to cellular phones using autodialed and/or prerecorded messages without first obtaining the consent required by the TCPA. The Class Period is from 9-3-2009 to 7-22-2015. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Alexander H. Burke**  **Burke Law Offices, LLC**  **155 N. Michigan Avenue**  **Suite 9020**  **Chicago, IL 60602** |