| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name****Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **2-2-2017** | **MDL-2672** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Volkswagen “Clean Diesel” Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation**The class action alleges that Volkswagen, Audi and Porsche hired Bosch to program the computers in Eligible Vehicles to detect when the cars were undergoing official emissions testing. The class action alleges the cars turned on their full emissions control systems only during testing, but that they were not turned on during normal road use, which caused the cars to emit significantly more pollutants than permitted, in violation of U.S. and state clean air laws. | **5-11-2017** | Prepared by Brenda Berkley**For more information write or visit****Elizabeth J. Cabraser****Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann** **& Bernstein, LLP****275 Battery Street****29th Floor****San Francisco, CA 94111**[**www.BoschVWSettlement.com**](http://www.BoschVWSettlement.com) |
| **2-3-2017** | **13-CV-01191** | **(D. Conn.)** | **Diana Mey, et al. v. Frontier Communications Corporation** Plaintiffs allege that Frontier violated theTelephone Consumer Protection Act by allegedly initiating unsolicited telemarketing calls. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Edward A. Broderick****Anthony I. Paronich****Broderick & Paronich, P.C.** **99 High Street****Suite 304****Boston, MA 02110** |
| **2-3-2017** | **16-CV-11547** | **(D. Mass.)** | **ARcare, Inc. v. Cynosure, Inc.**The lawsuit alleges Cynosure sent advertisements by facsimile that violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Phillip A. Bock****Brock, Hatch, Lewis &** **Oppenheim****134 N LaSalle Street****Suite 1000****Chicago, IL 60602** |
| **2-3-2017** | **13-CV-00575** | **(S.D. Ind.)** | **Bryana Bible v. United Student Aid Funds, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that United Student Aid Funds, Inc. (“USAF”) breached the student loan contracts by imposing collection fees on borrowers in violation of a clause allowing borrowers to rehabilitate the loan if payments were brought current within 60 days. The Named Plaintiff also alleged that USAF violated the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act by making fraudulent representations about rehabilitating the loans. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Anna P. Prakash****Nichols Kaster, PLLP****4600 IDS Center****80 South 8th Street****Minneapolis, MN 55402** |
| **2-3-2017** | **16-CV-01104** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Henry Lee v. Buth-Na-Bodhaige, Inc.**The Action alleges that Defendant willfully and intentionally violated the Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act (15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq*.) (“FACTA”). FACTA requires that all but the last five digits of a consumer’s credit or debit card numbers be deleted from credit or debit card receipts presented to customers at the point of sale. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated FACTA by providing its customers with credit or debit card receipts displaying more than the last five digits of the card number. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Joshua C. Dickinson****Bryant T. Lamer****SPENCER FANE LLP****1000 Walnut Street****Suite 1400****Kansas City, MO 64106****816 474-8100 (Ph.)****816 474-3216 (Fax.)** |
| **2-3-2017** | **11-CV-07178** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Castro, et al. v. Sanofi Pasteur Inc.**This lawsuit claimed that when Novartis was about to enter the MCV4 Vaccine market with its Menveo vaccine, Sanofi, rather than compete on the merits, engaged in illegal conduct to limit competition. Specifically, the lawsuit claimed that, through a series of contracts and other conduct, Sanofi effectively required certain healthcare providers to buy substantially all of their MCV4 Vaccines from Sanofi or risk paying much higher prices on Sanofi’s entire portfolio of pediatric vaccines. The suit alleged that this conduct foreclosed the entry of a rival MCV4 Vaccine supplier (Novartis) and allowed Sanofi to maintain its monopoly power in the market for MCV4 Vaccines in violation of the federal antitrust laws. The lawsuit allegedthat the claimed anticompetitive conduct resulted in artificially inflated prices for Sanofi’s MCV4 Vaccine Menactra and theMCV4 Vaccine Menveo (now sold by GlaxoSmithKline). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Berger & Montague, P.C.****1622 Locust Street****Philadelphia, PA 19103** |
| **2-3-2017** | **MDL-2420** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation**The lawsuit alleges that Defendants and co-conspirators conspired to raise and fix the prices of cylindrical Li-Ion Cells for over ten years, resulting in overcharges to indirect purchasers of portable computers, camcorders, and power tools containing Li-Ion Cylindrical Batteries. The complaint describes how the Defendants and co-conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. and state antitrust, unfair competition, and consumer protection laws by agreeing to fix prices and restrict output of these cells by face-to-face meetings and other communications, customer allocation, and the use of trade associations. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit or call:**[**www.batteriesconsumerlitigation.com**](http://www.batteriesconsumerlitigation.com)**1 855 730-8645 (Ph.)** |
| **2-3-2017** | **15-MD-02672** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Volkswagen “Clean Diesel” Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation**For more information see page 1 above. | **5-11-2017** | **For more information write to:****Elizabeth Cabraser****Lieff Cabraser Heimann**  **& Bernstein, LLP****275 Battery Street** **29th Floor****San Francisco, CA 94111** |
| **2-6-2017** | **14-CV-4062** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Animation Workers Antitrust Litigation**The lawsuit claims that Defendants conspired to suppress compensation by agreeing not to solicit each other’s employees and to coordinate compensation policies in violation of federal and state antitrust laws. | **5-18-2017** | **For more information write to:****Daniel A. Small****Brent W. Johnson****Jeffrey B. Dubner****Cohen Milstein Sellers &**  **Toll, PLLC****1100 New York Avenue N.W.****Suite 500****Washington, DC 20005** |
| **2-6-2017** | **15-CV-21264** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Justin Mark Boise v. ACE American Insurance Company, and ACE USA, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that ACE violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by allegedly initiating unsolicited telemarketing calls. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****W. Craft Hughes****Jarrett L. Ellzey****HUGHES ELLZEY, LLP****2700 Post Oak Blvd.****Suite 1120****Galleria Tower I****Houston, Texas 77056** |
| **2-6-2017** | **15-CV-07239** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Shaundrenika Robrinzine and Aaron Abel v. Big Lots Stores, Inc.**This suit alleges that Big Lots violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act by procuring background checks on employees and job applicants without providing the disclosure required by law. | **6-15-2017** | **For more information write or call:****Brock J. Specht****Nichols Kaster PLLP****4600 IDS Center****80 S. 8th Street****Minneapolis, MN 55402****612 256-3200 (Ph.)** |
| **2-7-2017** | **15-CV-01700** | **(W.D. Pa.)** | **Gokhberg, et al. v. The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc., and PNC Bank, N.A.**The Lawsuit alleges that PNC violated the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) and various state laws by failing to properly pay mortgage loan officers for all hours worked and by making improper deductions from loan officers’ commissions. Specifically, the Lawsuit contends that PNC violated the law by (1) causing mortgage loan officers to work off-the-clock; and (2) making deductions from commissions in violation of written agreements and state and federal law. | **4-6-2017** | **For more information write to:****Justin L. Swidler****Richard S. Swartz****Swartz Swidler, LLC****1101 Kings Hwy N.****Suite 402****Cherry Hill, NJ 08034** |
| **2-8-2017** | **16-CV-00174** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Smith v. A-Check America Inc. d/b/a A-Check Global**Plaintiff alleges that A-Check violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act by preparing background reports that contained information which legally could not be reported, specifically criminal non-convictions older than seven years. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****E. Michelle Drake****Berger & Montague, P.C.****43 SE Main Street****Suite 505****Minneapolis, MN 55414** |
| **2-10-2017** | **15-CV-00016** | **(M.D. Ga.)** | **Randolph Jones, Jr. v. Advance Bureau of Collections, LLP, et al.****Re Defendants: Kenneth M. French, Evelyn Trimble, David J. Aldrich, Lee Ann Barrett, Mia H. Ferruzzo-O’Brien, and T. Patat**The Lawsuit alleges that Defendants violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, by failing to include the words "in writing" in its initial collection letter to consumers. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Hurt Stolz, P.C.****James W. Hurt, Jr.****345 west Hancock Avenue****Athens, GA 30601****706 395-2750 (Ph.)****866 766-9245 (Fax)** |
| **2-10-2017** | **15-CV-00041** | **(E.D. Va.)** | **Ridenour et al. v. Sterling Infosystems, Inc.** Plaintiffs were requested by Sterling Infosystems, Inc. (“Sterling”) to submit to a background check as a condition of employment. Plaintiffs claimed that the consumer report prepared contained inaccurate or incomplete criminal information. Plaintiffs disputed the accuracy of the information in the report, and Sterling changed the report as a result of Plaintiffs dispute. It is also alleged that Sterling violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), a federal law. The FCRA requires Sterling to provide notice to a consumer when it furnishes a report to an employer that contains a criminal record item, and to maintain strict procedures to ensure its reports are complete and up to date. 15 U.S.C., § 1681k(a).  | **7-19-2017** | **For more information write to:****Leonard A. Bennett****Consumer Litigation** **Associates, P.C.****763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd.****Suite 1-A****Newport News, VA 23601** |
| **2-10-2017** | **15-CV-06886** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Mittl, et al. v. Lowe’s Home Center, LLC**The Plaintiffs in this lawsuit claim that Lowe’s incorrectly classified certain types of installers as independent contractors rather than employees of Lowe’s in violation of New Jersey law. Plaintiffs claim that installers and their installation companies suffered damages as a result of the misclassification. Plaintiffs are asking for compensation for the value of employee benefits that they contend installers should have received, for reimbursement of insurance and tax costs, and certain other expenses.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Jeffrey C. Block****Erica G. Langsen****Block& Leviton LLP****155 Federal Street****Suite 400****Boston, MA 02110****617 398-5600 (Ph.)** |
| **2-10-2017** | **12-CV-00576** | **(W.D. Wash.)** | **Torrey Gragg v. Orange Cab Company, Inc., et al.**Plaintiff claimed, among other things, that Defendants sent text messages to cellular telephones in violation of state and federal law.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**[**www.taxitextsettlement.com**](http://www.taxitextsettlement.com) |
| **2-13-2017** | **15-CV-00747** | **(W.D. Tex.)** | **Alex Smith, v. Steadfast Management Company, Inc. and Sir Steiner Ranch Apartments, LLC d/b/a Meritage at Steiner Ranch**The lawsuit alleges, among other things, that Defendants violated the Texas Water Code, Texas Administrative Code, and breached the lease by billing residents at apartment communities in Texas managed by Steadfast Management Company, Inc., a $5 monthly service fee and/or a monthly pest control fee. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Britton D. Monts****THE MONTS FIRM****Frost Building****401 Congress Avenue****Suite 1540****Austin, Texas 78701****512 474-6092 (Ph.)** |
| **2-10-2017** | **14-CV-04062** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Animation Works Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendant: Dream Works Animation SKG**For more information see 2-6-2017 above. | **5-18-2017** | **For more information visit:**[**www.animationlawsuit.com**](http://www.animationlawsuit.com)**.** |
| **2-10-2017** | **15-CV-05082****16-CV-01478** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Soto v. Wild Planet Foods, Inc.****Shihad v. Wild Planet Foods, Inc.**This lawsuits claimed that Wild Planet shorted the amount of tuna in its cans, under-filling them in violation of state and federal law.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit or e-mail Class Counsel:**[**http://www.wildplanetsettlement.com**](http://www.wildplanetsettlement.com)**info@bursor.com** |
| **2-13-2017** | **14-MD-02541** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: National Collegiate Athletic Association Athletic Grant-in-Aid Cap Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: Pac-12 Conference, The Big Ten Conference, Inc., The Big 12 Conference, Inc., Southeastern Conference, Atlantic Coast Conference, American Athletic Conference, Conference USA, Mid-American Athletic Conference, Inc., Mountain West Conference, Sun Belt Conference, and Western Athletic Conference** Plaintiffs include current and former student-athletes that have challenged the NCAA’s former rules capping athletically related financial aid packages for student-athletes, arguing new rules allow for athletically related aid up to the full cost of attendance. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to suppress competition by agreeing to and enforcing restrictive NCAA bylaws that cap the amount of athletically related aid and other benefits to student-athletes. Defendants are and continue to be public and open about their participation in NCAA activities. The student-athletes asked the court to certify three classes of student-athletes who have received a financial aid package, referred to as a grant-in-aid, or GIA, since 5-5-2010 — a class of Division I FBS football student-athletes, a class of Division I men’s basketball student-athletes and a class of Division I women’s basketball student-athletes. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL****SHAPIRO LLP****Steve W. Berman****1918 Eighth Avenue****Suite 3300****Seattle, WA 98101****PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW,** **LLP****Bruce L. Simon****44 Montgomery Street****Suite 2450****San Francisco, CA 94104** |
| **2-14-2017** | **14-CV-4062** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Animation Workers Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: The Walt Disney Company, Lucasfilm Ltd. LLC, Pixar and Two Pic MC LLC f/k/a ImageMovers Digital LLC (collectively, “Disney Defendants”)**The Court changed the date of the hearing on the motion for preliminary approval of the proposed settlement. The hearing, previously scheduled for 3-9-2017, is now scheduled for 3-2-2017. For more information see CAFA Notice above dated 2-6-2017. | **5-18-2017** | **For more information write or call:****Daniel A. Small****Brent W. Johnson****Jeffrey B. Dubner****Cohen Milstein Sellers &**  **Toll, PLLC****1100 New York Avenue N.W.****Suite 500****Washington, DC 20005****202 408-4600 (Ph.)** |
| **2-14-2017** | **14-CV-00081** | **(D. Md.)** | **Edward J. & Vickie Fangman, et al. v. Genuine Title, LLC, et al.**The Plaintiffs allege that Net Equity's owner, employees, and/or agents participated in the Alleged Referrals to Genuine Title, which the Plaintiffs contend violated certain federal and state laws and negatively impacted those borrowers who were referred to Genuine Title. The Plaintiffs also contend that Net Equity should be held responsible for the conduct of those employees who allegedly accepted unlawful benefits from Genuine Title in exchange for an agreement to refer borrowers to Genuine Title.  | **6-8-2017** | **For more information write to:****Mchael Paul Smith****Smith, Gildea &**  **Sohmidt, LLC****600 Washington Avenue Suite 200****Towson, MD 21204** |
| **2-15-2017** | **16-CV-01346** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Birbrower v. Quorn Foods, Inc.**The lawsuit alleges that Quorn failed to adequately disclose that the “Mycoprotein” used in its products is a mold (member of the fungi family). Plaintiff further alleges Quorn used labels that were false and misleading. | **9-1-2017** | **For more information visit:**[**http://www.quornfoodssettlement.com**](http://www.quornfoodssettlement.com) |
| **2-16-2017** | **15-CV-03194** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Jeffrey A. Thomas v. Dun & Bradstreet Credibility Corp.**For more information see CAFA Notice dated 9-9-2016. | **3-21-2017** | **For more information write or call:****Lieff Cabraser Heimann &**  **Bernstein, LLP** **275 Battery Street****29th Floor** **San Francisco, CA 94111** **800 541-7358 (Ph.)** |
| **2-16-2017** | **14-CV-81156** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **In re: Altisource Portfolio Solutions, S.A. Securities Litigation**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants madematerially false and misleading statements and omitted material information regarding the nature of the relationship and business dealings between Altisource, a provider of support and technology services for mortgage loan servicing, and Ocwen, the largest nonbank mortgage servicer in the country and Altisource’s former parent. Specifically, the Amended Complaint alleges that Altisource and Ocwen engaged in purported conflicted transactions that were supposedly approved by Defendant Erbey – who was the board chairman of and had a significant ownership interest in both companies – in violation of Defendants’ representations that Erbey recused himself from negotiations and approvals of transactions between Altisource and Ocwen. The Amended Complaint also contained allegations concerning the effectiveness of Altisource’s mortgage servicing technology platform, the separation of Altisource’s and Ocwen’s respective management teams, and the rates at which Altisource provided certain services to or on behalf of Ocwen. The Amended Complaint further alleged that the price of Altisource common stock was artificially inflated as a result of Defendants’ allegedly false and misleading statements and omissions, and that the price declined when the truth was revealed. | **5-30-2017** | **For more information write to:****Bernstein Litowitz** **Berger & Grossmann LLP****Hannah G. Ross, Esq.****1251 Avenue of the** **Americas,****44th Floor****New York, NY 10020** |
| **2-17-2017** | **15-CV-05942** | **(E.D. Pa.)** | **Beach v. American Heritage Federal Credit Union, et al.**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated consumer protection laws by generating involuntary “cash advances” from it member’s accounts to pay an attorney to sue that member.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Francis & Mailman, P.C.****100 S. Broad Street,** **Suite 1902****Philadelphia, PA 19110** |
| **2-17-2017** | **16-CV-03557** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Lewis v. Green Dot Corporation, et al.**The lawsuit alleges that certain holders of Green Dot prepaid debit cards and Walmart MoneyCards, issued by Green Dot, experienced a longer than anticipated disruption in service for portions of the period of time between 5-15-2016 and 5-22-2016 causing cardholders to experience damages and losses. The lawsuit alleges that Defendants are liable for various consumer fraud, contract, and negligence claims. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****John A. Yanchunis****MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX**  **LITIGATION GROUP****201 N. Franklin Street****7th Floor****Tampa, Florida 33602** |
| **2-17-2017** | **15-CV-556** | **(D. Minn.)** | **Soular v. Northern Tier Energy LP, et al.**The lawsuit alleges that SuperAmerica violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227, by sending unsolicited text messages. Specifically, the lawsuit claims that SuperAmerica sent or transmitted, or had sent or transmitted on their behalf, text message advertisements to wireless telephone numbers using a computerized automatic telephone dialing system as defined by the TCPA that stores telephone numbers from a database, or dials random or sequential numbers. The lawsuit claims that these messages were sent without the recipients’ prior consent in violation of the TCPA. | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or visit:****1 844 512-9009 (Ph.)**[**www.SATCPAsettlement.com**](http://www.SATCPAsettlement.com) |
| **2-17-2017** | **14-CV-4464** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Vitale v. U.S. Gas & Electric, Inc. and Energy Services Provides, Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants used improper marketing and sales practices, which induced customers to switch their gas and/or electric services to Defendant’s company. It is further alleged that Defendants violated the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, the New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract Act, Warranty and Notice Act, or consumer protection statues in other affected states. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Bruce D. Greenberg****Lite Depalma Greenberg LLC****570 Broad Street****Suite 1201****Newark, NJ 07102** |
| **2-17-2017** | **14-CV-06637** | **(E.D.N.Y.)** | **Gauquie, et al. v. Albany Molecular Research, Inc., et al.**Plaintiffs claim that Defendants violated federal securities laws by misrepresenting and/or omitting information regarding a power outage that occurred in late July 2014 at an AMRI manufacturing facility. The Complaint asserts unspecified damages and asserts claims against Defendants under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write:****Laurence Rosen** **Phillip Kim** **The Rosen Law Firm, P.A.** **275 Madison Avenue****34th Floor** **New York, New York 10016**  |
| **2-17-2017** | **16-CV-02470** | **(N.D. Ohio)** | **Solak v. Consolino, et al.**Plaintiff alleges that Defendants committed violations of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.  | **5-30-2017** | **For more information write to:****Daniel R. Karon****Beau D.Hollowell****Karon LLC****The Hoyt Block Building****Suite 200****700 West S. Clair Avenue****Cleveland, OH 44113** |
| **2-20-2017** | **15-CV-08248** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Weisberg v. HD Supply, Inc.**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated a federal law by sending text messages to individuals’ mobile phones without their consent. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Todd M. Friedman, Esq.****The Law Offices of Todd** **M. Friedman, P.C.****21550 Oxnard Street****Suite 780****Woodland Hills, CA 91367** |
| **2-21-2017** | **15-CV-02495** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Alice Lee, et al. v. Global Tel\*Link Corporation**Plaintiff claims that Global Tel\*Link Corporation (“GTL”) violated the TCPA by placing Notification Calls through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice without prior express consent of the called party.  | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Timothy J. Sostrin****Keogh Law, LTD.****55 W. Monroe Street****Suite 3390****Chicago, IL 60603** |
| **2-21-2017** | **16-CV-60442** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **Rodriguez v. Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company**Plaintiff alleges that Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company posted customers’ insurance declaration and evidence of insurance pages (“Insurance Documents”) on the Lender Verification Portal without sufficient security procedures in place. The Insurance Documents contain customer information that the plaintiffs regard as sensitive. | **7-31-2017** | **For more information write to:****Frederic S. Fox****David A. Straite****KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER** **LLP****850 Third Avenue,****New York, New York 10022** |
| **2-22-2017** | **13-CV-02529** | **(M.D. Pa.)** | **Amador v. The Brickman Group, Ltd., LLC**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant willfully violated the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) by failing to pay Plaintiff all overtime owed on a timely basis. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:****Shanon J. Carson****Sarah R. Schalman-Bergen****Alexandra K. Piazza****BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.****1622 Locust Street****Philadelphia, PA 19103****215 875-3033 (Ph.)** |
| **2-23-2017** | **16-CV-01346** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Birbrower v. Quorn Foods, Inc.**For more information see CAFA Notice dated 2-15-2017 | **9-1-2017** | **For more information write to:****Jason M. Frank****Scott H. Sims****Frank Sims & Stolper LLP****19800 MacArthur Blvd #855, Irvine, CA 92612** |
| **2-24-2017** | **16-CV-05698** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Paul Andrus, et al. v. New York Life Insurance Co., et al.**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated ERISA by offering NY Life’s proprietary MainStay S&P 500 Index Fund to the Plans to the exclusion of more prudent alternative S&P 500 index funds managed by investment managers not affiliated with NY Life. | **6-15-2017** | **For more information write to:****NICHOLS KASTER PLLP****Attn: NY Life Progress-Sharing Plans Settlement****4600 IDS Center****80 S. 8th St.****Minneapolis, MN 55402** |
| **2-24-2017** | **15-CV-03519** | **(W.D. Mo.)** | **Foster v. L-3 Communications**The lawsuit claims that certain of Defendant’s Holographic Weapon Sight (“HWS”) were defective and resulted in four different issues, which affected the performance of HWS under certain conditions. These four issues are “reticle dimming” from moisture incursion, movement of the reticle as the temperature changes known as “thermal drift,” parallax, and distortion of the reticle in cold weather. The class action lawsuit further contends that the value and utility of these HWS have been diminished as a result of these alleged issues. | **6-30-2017** | **For more information write to:****Tim E. Dollar****Attn:** **EOTech HWS Settlement****Dollar Burns & Becker L.C.****1100 Main Street****Suite 2600****Kansas City, MO 64105** |
| **2-24-201** | **14-CV-14744** | **(D. Mass.)** | **DiFrancesco, et al. v. Utz Quality Foods, Inc.**The lawsuit alleges that the Defendant violated certain laws in labeling, marketing, and advertising of certain Utz and Bachman branded products. Specifically, the lawsuit alleges that through a nationwide advertising campaign, the Defendant sold its products by making false and misleading claims that these products were “All Natural.” | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:****Tina Wolfson****Robert Ahdoot****AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC****1016 Palm Avenue****West Hollywood, CA 90069** |
| **2-24-2017** | **12-MD-02311** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation****Re Defendants: Hitachi Metals, Ltd., Hitachi Metals America, Ltd., and Hitachi Cable America, Inc.**Plaintiffs allege that Defendants and their co-conspirators participated in a conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in the automotive parts industry by agreeing to allocate the supply of, rig bids for, and to fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of, automotive brake hoses sold to vehicle manufacturers and others in the United States. The conspiracy engaged in by Defendants and their co-conspirators is alleged to be unreasonable restraint of interstate and foreign trade and commerce in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, and state antitrust, unfair competition, consumer protection and unjust enrichment laws. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:****Bernard Persky****William V. Reiss****ROBINS KAPLAN LLP****601 Lexington Avenue, Suite 3400****New York, NY 10022****212 980-7400 (Ph.)****212 980-7499 (Fax)** |
| **2-24-2017** | **14-CV-04561** | **(E.D.N.Y.)** | **Daniel Short v. Churchill BenefitCorporation dba Yurcor; Framestore, Inc.****Re Defendants: Richard McCann and Mark Ticar**A former Artist who provided services to Framestore and was paid by Yurcor filed this lawsuit against Framestore and Yurcor (and its two principals, Mark Ticar and Richard McCann (collectively “Yurcor”)) alleging that certain deductions were inappropriately made from his wages and that he failed to receive certain wage notices. | **5-23-2017** | **For more information write or call:****Schwartz, Steinsapir,** **Dohrmann & Sommers, LLP****Stuart Libicki****6300 Wilshire Blvd.****Suite 2000****Los Angeles, CA 90048****323 655-4700 (Ph.)** |
| **2-27-2017** | **16-CV-01436** | **(W.D. Pa.)** | **Steven A. Conner, DPM P.C. v. Carepoint Medical Solutions, LLC**Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sent unsolicited fax advertisement from Carepoint promoting its goods or services that did not contain a proper opt-out notice. Plaintiff alleged that these faxes violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.  | **5-17-2017** | **For more information write to:****Daniel A. Edelman****Dulijaza Clark****EDELMAN, COMBS, LATTTIRNER** **& GOODWIN, LLC****20 S. Clark Street****Suite 1500****Chicago, IL 60603** |
| **2-27-2017** | **15-CV-00059** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **Ray Palmer, Jr. v. Dynamic Recovery Solutions, LLC and Cascade Capital LLC.**This lawsuit contends that Defendants violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”). Plaintiff alleges that Defendants sent letters attempting to collect time-barred debts that violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e and f. | **7-3-2017** | **For more information write or call:****Donald E. Petersen****Post Office Box 1948****Orlando, FL 32802****407 648-9050 (Ph.)** |
| **2-28-2017** | **16-CV-03588** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Abante Rooter and Plumbing, Inc. v. New York Life Insurance Company**Plaintiff alleges that New York Life violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) by making calls to cellular telephones through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice and to telephone numbers that were listed on the National Do-Not-Call Registry. The class representative claims that New York Life did not have the recipients’ permission to make these calls. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or e-mail:****Anthony Paronich****Broderick & Paronich, 99 High Street****Suite 304****Boston, MA 02110****anthony@broderick-law.com** |