| **Notice Date** | **Case Number** | **Court** | **Case Name Summary of Issue** | **Fairness Hearing Date** | **For more information** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **8-3-2016** | **15-CV-00760** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: NVIDIA GTX 970 Graphics Chip Litigation**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants sold GeForce GTX 970 graphics processing units to consumers based on inaccurate or misleading information regarding the GTX 970’s specifications, performance, and capabilities. | **Not set yet** | Prepared by Brenda Berkley  **For more information visit or call:**  [**www.MoorevALsettlement.com**](http://www.MoorevALsettlement.com)  **1 888 293-9919 (Ph.)** |
| **8-4-2016** | **12-CV-00311**  **12-CV-00102**  **12-CV-00103**  **14-CV-14451**  **14-CV-00107** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Wire Harness Systems**  Plaintiffs allege that they were injured as a result of LEONI’s participation in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate markets and customers for Automotive Wire Harness Systems in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various state antitrust, unfair competition, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws as set forth in Plaintiffs’ Fourth Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint in the Action. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy**  **LLP**  **San Francisco Airport**  **Office Center**  **840 Malcolm Road**  **Suite 200**  **Burlingame, CA 94010** |
| **8-5-2016** | **12-CV-00093** | **(D. Vt.)** | **Cummings v. TIAA-CREF., et al.**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants did not process transfer and redemption transaction requests from their customers in the time period required by law, and that they did not pay their customers the investment gains generated by the customers’ funds during the delay. Plaintiffs assert that Defendants’ conduct violates the Employment Retirement Income Security Act, more commonly known as ERISA. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Gravel & Shea P.C.**  **76 St Paul Street**  **7th Floor**  **Burlington, Vermont 05401**  **Kozyak, Tropin &**  **Throckmorton, P.A.**  **2525 Ponce de Leon Blvd.**  **9th Floor**  **Coral Gables, FL 33134** |
| **8-5-2016** | **15-CV-02069** | **(D.N.J.)** | **Motwani v. Marina District Development Corporation, LLC**  Plaintiff alleges that vouchers issued by the Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa to certain favored customers offering “Unlimited Free Parking” at the casino were misleading because they could not be used more than once on the same day. While this condition was stated on the face of the vouchers, the plaintiff alleges that the print was too small to be read by the average consumer. | **11-29-2016** | **For more information write to:**  **Bruce H. Nagel**  **Randee M. Matloff**  **Nagel Rice LLP**  **103 Eisenhower Parkway**  **Suite 103**  **Roseland, NJ 07068** |
| **8-5-2016** | **15-CV-06320** | **(W.D.N.Y.)** | **Kevin Tarrant, et al. v. Sutherland Global Services, Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege on behalf of themselves and other Work at Home employees that Defendants violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., and various state laws by failing to pay them for all hours worked, including overtime hours, performing the pre-shift, post-shift, and pre and post-meal break preparatory activities of booting up computers and logging into and out of computer systems, along with mid-shift technical downtime issues. | **11-3-2016** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Kevin J. Stoops**  **Jesse L. Young**  **Sommers Schwartz, P.C.**  **One Town Square**  **Suite 1700**  **Southfield, MI 48076**  **248 355-0300 (Ph.)**  [**kstoops@sommerspc.com**](mailto:kstoops@sommerspc.com)  [**jyoung@sommerspc.com**](mailto:jyoung@sommerspc.com) |
| **8-8-2016** | **14-CV-01243** | **(D. Colo.)** | **United Food and Commercial Workers Union and Participating Food Industry Employers Tri-State Pension Fund v. Advance Emissions Solutions, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that Advance Emissions Solutions, Inc. (“ADES”) and the other defendants misrepresented to the investing public ADES's financial condition and its financial controls to artificially inflate and maintain the market price of ADES's common stock. The Second Amended Complaint alleges claims for relief of: 1) alleged violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and 2) control person liability under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:**  **Shepherd, Finkelman,**  **Miller, & Shah, LLP**  **James E. Miller**  **Laurie Rubinow**  **65 Main Street**  **Chester, CT 06412**  **860 526-1100 (Ph.)**  **860 300-7367 (Fax)**  [**jmiller@sfmslaw.com**](mailto:jmiller@sfmslaw.com)  [**lrubinoww@sfmslaw.com**](mailto:lrubinoww@sfmslaw.com) |
| **8-8-2016** | **13-CV-20830** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **McNeil et al. v. Loan Care, LLC, et al.**  **Re Defendants: American Security Insurance Company, Voyager Indemnity Insurance Company, and Standard Guaranty Insurance Company (collectively, the “Assurant Defendants”)**  Plaintiffs allege that when a borrower was required to have insurance for his or her property pursuant to a residential mortgage or home equity loan or line of credit, if evidence of acceptable coverage was not provided, LoanCare would place insurance in a manner such that LoanCare allegedly received an unauthorized benefit. Plaintiffs allege further that LoanCare did so primarily to receive “kickbacks” in the form of commissions from the Assurant Defendants. Plaintiffs also allege that the way in which lender-placed insurance policies were obtained and placed caused the rates and the amount of coverage to be excessive. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Adam M. Moskowitz**  **Kozyak, Tropin, & Throckmorton, P.A.**  **2525 Ponce de Leon Blvd.**  **9th Floor**  **Coral Gables, FL 33134** |
| **8-10-2016** | **13-CV-03889** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Velti plc Securities Litigation**  Plaintiff alleges that Velti, certain of its current and/or former officers and directors (the “Individual Defendants”) and Velti’s former auditor, Baker Tilly, committed violations of the antifraud provisions of the Exchange Act. The Class Action also alleges that Velti, certain Individual Defendants, Baker Tilly, and others issued materially false statements or omissions in connection with Velti’s Initial Public Offering and Secondary Public Offering in violation of the Securities Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or visit:**  **THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C.**  **ROBERT WEISER**  **22 Cassatt Avenue**  **Berwyn, PA 19312**  [**https://secure.dahladmin.com/VELTI**](https://secure.dahladmin.com/VELTI) |
| **8-10-2016** | **14-CV-2237** | **(D. Md.)** | **Lann, et al. v. Trinity Health Corp., et al.**  The complaint alleges that Catholic Health East (“CHE”) Defendants denied the Plans’ participants and beneficiaries the protections of the Employment Retirement Income Security Act (“ERISA”) by claiming that the Plans qualified as ERISA exempt “Church Plans.” The complaint also alleged that the Plans sponsored by CHE—a non-profit healthcare provider—did not qualify as ERISA—exempt Church Plans. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or fax:**  **Karen L. Handorf**  **Cohen Milstein Sellers &**  **Toll, PLLC**  **1100 New York Avenue, N.W.**  **Suite 500, East Tower**  **Washington, D.C. 20005**  **202 408-4699 (Fax)** |
| **8-11-2016** | **12-CV-00503**  **13-CV01903** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, In re: Bearings**  **In re: Electronic Powered Steering Assemblies (End-Payor Actions)**  **Re Defendants: NSK Ltd., NSK Americas, Inc., NSK Steering Systems Co., Ltd., and NSK Steering Systems America, Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants, suppliers of Automotive Bearings globally and in the United States, engaged in a massive conspiracy to unlawfully fix and artificially raise the prices of these products. Defendants’ conspiracy successfully targeted the long-struggling United States automotive industry, raising prices for car manufacturers and purchasers alike. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Hollis Salzman**  **Bernard Persky**  **William V. Reiss**  **ROBINS KAPLAN LLP**  **601 Lexington Avenue**  **Suite 3400**  **New York, NY 10022**  **212 980-7400 (Ph.)**  [**HSalzman@RobinsKaplan.com**](mailto:HSalzman@RobinsKaplan.com)  [**BPersky@RobinsKaplan.com**](mailto:BPersky@RobinsKaplan.com)  [**WReiss@RobinsKaplan.com**](mailto:WReiss@RobinsKaplan.com) |
| **8-11-2016** | **12-CV-00502**  **13-CV-01902** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Bearings & In re: Electronic Powered Steering Assemblies (Automobile Dealership Actions)**  **Re Defendants: KSK Ltd., NSK Americas, Inc., NSK Steering Systems Co., Ltd., and NSK Steering Systems American, Inc. (collectively, “NSK”)**  Plaintiffs allege that they were injured as a result of NSK’s participation in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate markets and customers for Bearings and Electronic Powered Steering Assemblies in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various state antitrust, unfair competition, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **BARRETT LAW GROUP, P.A.**  **P.O. Box 927**  **404 Court Square**  **Lexington, MS 39095**  **CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP**  **507 C Street, N.E.**  **Washington, DC 20002** |
| **8-12-2016** | **13-CV-2018** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Smith v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company**  Consumer alleges that Variable Marketing, LLC (“Variable”) made automated calls to cell phones without the prior express consent of the recipients in an attempt to sell Lead Information to State Farm Agents. The Representative Plaintiffs have alleged that these automated calls violated a federal statute called the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, and that both Variable and State Farm are liable for such violations. | **12-14-2016** | **For more information write to:**  **Lieff Cabraser Heimann**  **& Bernstein, LLP**  **275 Battery Street**  **29th Floor**  **San Francisco, CA 94111**  **Burke Law Offices, LLC**  **155 N. Michigan Ave.**  **Suite 9020**  **Chicago, IL 60601** |
| **8-12-2016** | **14-CV-01939** | **(N.D. Ga.)** | **Vinson v. FleetCor Technologies, Inc., and FleetCor Technologies Operating Company, LLC**  Plaintiff claims violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3) for FleetCor’s alleged failure to provide to job applicants with a copy of their consumer background report, a summary of consumer rights promulgated by the Fair Credit Reporting Act as well as a meaningful opportunity to address or dispute the background report before adverse action was taken. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Matthew A. Dooley**  **Anthony R. Pecora**  **O’Toole McLaughlin Dooley**  **& Pecora Co., LPA**  **5455 Detroit Road**  **Sheffield Village, OH 44054** |
| **8-12-2016** | **13-CV-3**  **12-CV-0418**  **15-CV-02093**  **15-CV-01710** | **(E.D. Va.)**  **(S.D. Cal.)**  **(N.D. Ill.)**  **(M.D. Fla.)** | **Francis W. Hooker v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.**  **Erik Knutson v. Siris XM Radio Inc.**  **Yefim Elikman v. Siris XM Radio Inc.**  **Anthony Parker v. Sirus XM Radio Inc.**  Consumer alleges that Sirius XM violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, 47 U.S.C. §227, et seq., by allegedly authorizing telephone solicitation calls made to Class Members’ cell phone numbers using an automatic telephone dialing system. These calls were made by or on behalf of Sirius XM to individuals who had purchased or leased a new or used vehicle that had a promotional subscription to Sirius XM radio that ended no later than 4-5-2016 and who never became paying subscribers prior to 7-5-2016. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or visit:**  **Michael A. Caddell**  **Caddell & Chapman**  **628 East 9th St.**  **Houston, TX 77007-1722**  [**www.SiriusXMTCPASettlement.com**](http://www.SiriusXMTCPASettlement.com) |
| **8-13-2016** | **15-CV-02076** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Joseph C. Messineo v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC**  The lawsuit alleges that Ocwen misapplied certain mortgage loan payments made by borrowers on or after 11-1-2013 on loans that were in an “interest only” payment mode, resulting in borrowers potentially paying higher interest on their loans. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Anthony F. Ventura**  **Daniel J. Muller**  **Sarah E. Hammerstad**  **Ventura Rossi Hersey &**  **Miller LLP**  **408 512-3022 (Ph.)** |
| **8-15-2016** | **15-CV-60481** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **Reginald R. Lockhart v. Real Time Resolutions, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleged that Settlement Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act by attempting to collect a debt using letters that failed to provide an effective and non-confusing disclosure of the “amount of the debt” and to whom the debt was owed as required under 15 U.S.C. §1692g. | **10-27-2016** | **For more inforamtion write to:**  **Robert W. Murphy**  **1212 S.E. 2nd Avenue**  **Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316** |
| **8-15-2016** | **15-CV-05841** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **In re: Kalobios Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Securities Litigation**  Plaintiffs allege that, after an investor group led by Mr. Shkreli assumed control over KaloBios, Defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions, knowingly and recklessly, concerning KaloBios business operations and prospects and prior misconduct by Defendant Shkreli at other companies. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Matthew L. Tuccillo, Pomerantz LLP**  **600 Third Avenue**  **20th Floor**  **New York, NY 10016**  **212 661-1100 (Ph.)** |
| **8-16-2016** | **13-CV-02654**  **14-CV-02915** | **(S.D. Cal.)** | **Bee, Denning, Inc. v. Capital Alliance Group, et al.**  **Baniela Torman v. Capital Alliance Group, et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that, without consent, calls with a prerecorded voice message were made to cell phones by or on behalf of Defendants. Plaintiffs also allege that facsimiles with unsolicited advertisements were sent by or on behalf of Defendants. Plaintiffs allege these acts violate the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. | **11-14-2016** | **For more information visit or call:**  [**www.AutoPhoneFaxSettlement.com**](http://www.AutoPhoneFaxSettlement.com)  **844 491-5739 (Ph.)** |
| **8-17-2016** | **15-CV-02003** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Re: Ijeoma Esomonu v. Omnicare, Inc.**  Plaintiff alleges that Omnicare provided disclosure forms that did not strictly comply with the disclosure and authorization requirements of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. 1681, et seq.), the California Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 1786, et seq.), and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 1786, et seq.). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write or call:**  **Saun Setareh**  **Setareh Law Group**  **9454 Wilshire Boulevard**  **Suite 907**  **Beverly Hills, CA 90212**  **310 888-7771 (Ph.)** |
| **8-19-2016** | **15-CV-01801** | **(C.D. Cal.)** | **Jonathan Retta et al. v. Millennium Products, Inc., et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that Whole Foods violated the law by reselling the Kombucha Products allegedly mislabeled by Millennium. | **Not set yet** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.millennium-settlement.com**](http://www.millennium-settlement.com) |
| **8-19-2016** | **13-CV-02702**  **14-CV-10796** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, In re: Air Conditioning Systems (Automobile Dealership Action)**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendants Valeo S.A., Valeo Japan Co., Ltd., Valeo Inc., Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc., Valeo Climate Control Corp., Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., Mitsubishi Heavy Industries America, Inc., Mitsubishi Heavy Industries Climate Control, Inc., DENSO Corporation and DENSO International America, Inc., and unnamed co-conspirators, manufacturers and/or suppliers of Air  Conditioning Systems engaged in a long-running conspiracy to unlawfully fix, artificially raise, maintain and/or stabilize prices, rig bids for, and allocate the market and customers in the United States for Air Conditioning Systems. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Cuneo Gilbert &**  **Laduca, LLP**  **507 C Street, N.E.**  **Washington, DC 20002**  **Larson King, LLP**  **2800 Wells Fargo Place**  **30 East Seventh Street**  **St. Paul, MN 55101** |
| **8-19-2016** | **12-MD-02311** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (Bearings Action)**  **In Re: Schaeffler Group USA Inc.**  Indirect purchasers allege that they were injured as a result of Schaeffler’s participation in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate markets and customers for Automotive Bearings in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various state antitrust, unfair completion, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Barrett Law Group, P.A.**  **P.O. Box 927**  **404 Court Square Lexington, MS 39095**  **Cuneo Gilbert & LaDuca,**  **LLP**  **507 C Street, N.E.**  **Washington, DC 20002** |
| **8-19-2016** | **15-CV-01270** | **(N.D. Ga.)** | **Cross v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.**  **Re Defendant: Garden City Group, LLC**  Plaintiff alleges that Wells Fargo violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act by using an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice to call or text cell phones without the prior express consent of the recipients. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Lieff Cabraser Heimann &**  **Bernstein, LLP**  **Embarcadero Center West**  **275 Battery Street**  **29th Floor**  **San Francisco, CA 94111** |
| **8-22-2014** | **14-CV-00560** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Michael Allagas, et al., v. BP Solar International, Inc., and Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.**  The lawsuit claims that the BP solar panels manufactured with S-type junction boxes are defective and prone to premature failure, do not generate the expected level of power, and create a potential fire hazard. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or fax:**  **LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN**  **& BERNSTEIN, LLP**  **Robert J. Nelson**  **275 Battery St, 29th Floor**  **San Francisco, CA 94111**  **415 956-1000 (Ph.)**  **415 956-1008 (Fax)** |
| **8-22-2016** | **16-CV-14108** | **(S.D. Fla.)** | **S.A.S.B. Corporation v. Concordia Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Shionogi Pharma, Inc., Zylera Pharmaceuticlas, LLC and John Does 1-12**  Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act by faxing unsolicited advertisements between 3-30-3012 and 3-30-2016 without opt-out notices. | **Not set yet** | **For more informattion write to:**  **Phillip A Bock**  **Bock, Hatch, Lewis &**  **Oppenheim LLC**  **134 N. LaSalle Street**  **Suite 1000**  **Chicago, IL 60602** |
| **8-22-2016** | **13-CV-3909**  **14-CV-8838**  **14-CV-2647**  **14-CV-10014**  **15-CV-06496** | **(E.D. Ill.)** | **McCarter v. Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit**  **Scehura v. Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit**  **Lill and Wojdelko v. Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit**  **Wood and Stockman v. Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit**  **Locke v. Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit**  Plaintiffs allege that Defendant Kovitz Shifrin Nesbit (“KSN”) initial communication relating to the alleged failure to pay condominium assessments confused Plaintiffs regarding the right of KSN to demand payment within 30 days and the right of Plaintiffs to a 30-day window within which to dispute the debt or request additional information. The Lawsuits assert violations of the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g. | **2-2-2017** | **For more information write or call:**  **Kenneth ZM. DucDuong**  **KMD Law Office**  **4001 W. Devon Avenue**  **Suite 332**  **Chicago, IL 60646**  **Mark T. Lavery**  **Hyslip & Taylor**  **1100 W. Cermak**  **Suite B410**  **Chicago, IL 60608** |
| **8-23-2016** | **12-CV-00103**  **12-CV-00403** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re: Wire Harness)**  **(In re: Heater Control Panels)**  **Re Defendants: Sumitomo**  **Automobile Dealer (Plaintiffs)**  **End-Payor (Plaintiffs)**  On 8-12-2016, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan entered a final judgment approving settlement agreement between end-payor plaintiffs and Sumitomo and entering a Dismissal With Prejudice as to Sumitomo. For more information please see CAFA Notice 3-3-3016. |  | **For more information write, call or visit:**  **Steven Williams Cotchett,**  **Pitre, & McCarthy LLP**  **San Francisco Airport**  **Office Center**  **840 Malcolm Road**  **Suite 200**  **Burlingame, CA 94010**  **Hollis Salzman**  **Robins Kaplan LLP**  **601 Lexington Avenue**  **Suite 3400**  **New York, NY 10022**  **1 877 940-5043 (Ph.)**  [**www.AutoPartsClass.com**](http://www.AutoPartsClass.com) |
| **8-24-2016** | **15-CV-5876**  **15-CV-05199**  **15-CV-05025**  **15-CV-2557** | **(N.D. Ill.O**  **(S.D.N.Y.)**  **(C.D. Cal.)**  **(N.J.Sup.Ct.)** | **Leiner v. Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc.**  **Hidalgo v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc.,**  **Real v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc.**  **Gallager v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc. (collectively the “Related Actions”)**  The plaintiffs in the lawsuit claim that Johnson & Johnson mislabeled its Bedtime Bath Products by describing certain Bedtime Bath Products as “clinically proven” to help a baby sleep better. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **James C. Shah**  **Shepherd, Finkelman,**  **Miller & Shah, LLP**  **35 E. State Street**  **Media, PA 19106** |
| **8-24-2016** | **11-CV-00733** | **(S.D.N.Y.)** | **Pennsylvania Public School Employees’ Retirement System v. Bank of America Corporation, (“BoA”) et al.**  Lead Plaintiff alleges that some or all of the Defendants violated Sections 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) and Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). Lead Plaintiff also alleges that Defendants violated the federal securities law by allegedly misrepresenting and concealing the magnitude of the Bank’s potential exposure to demands to repurchase mortgage-backed securities and other mortgage loans that had been sold by BoA and Countrywide Financial Corporation, and alleged risks to BoA arising from its use of and reliance upon a national electronic database that tracks changes in mortgage servicing rights and beneficial ownership interests in loans secured by residential real estate. | **11-29-2016** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.BoASecuritiesSettlement.com**](http://www.BoASecuritiesSettlement.com) |
| **8-25-2016** | **13-CV-00029** | **(M.D. Fla.)** | **James D. Hinson Electrical Contracting Co., Inc., et al. v. AT&T Services, Inc. and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege that AT&T overcharges those who damage its facilities and receive claims for the cost of repairing the damage. In particular, they allege AT&T improperly includes on its damage claims an undisclosed charge to cover the costs of its risk management department and a charge for “loss of use”. | **12-16-2016** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.attrepairclaimlitigation.com**](http://www.attrepairclaimlitigation.com) |
| **8-25-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **12-CV-00102**  **12-CV-00103**  **14-CV-14451**  **14—CV-00107** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation**  **In re: Automotive Wire Harness Systems**  **Re Defendants: Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. And American Furukawa, Inc. (together “Furukawa”)**  Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants in each lawsuit agreed to unlawfully raise the price of certain motor vehicle component parts. As a result, dealers of Trucks and/or Equipment who purchased for resale or lease  Trucks and/or Equipment containing those parts or who indirectly purchased those parts as replacement parts, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant or any subsidiary, affiliate, or alleged co-conspirator of a Defendant may have paid more than they should have. | **11-17-2016** | **For more information write, call or visit:**  **J. Manly Parks**  **Andrew R. Sperl**  **Duane Morris, LLP**  **30 S. 17th Street**  **Philadelphia, PA 19103**  **1 866 742-4955 (Ph.)**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **8-26-2016** | **13-CV-02276** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Nelson, et al., v. Avon Products, Inc.**  Plaintiffs allege that Avon misclassified its California District Sales Managers as exempt and therefore allegedly failed to pay them overtime compensation and provide other benefits due to them under California law. Plaintiffs also asserted claims on behalf of  District Sales Managers under the California Business & Professions Code for unfair competition and sought to recover civil penalties under the California Private Attorney General Act. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **Norman B. Blumenthal**  **Kyle R. Nordrehaug**  **Blumenthal, Nordrehaug &**  **Bhowmik**  **2255 Calle Clara**  **La Jolla, California 92037**  **858 551-1223 (Ph.)**  **norm@bamlawca.com** |
| **8-26-2016** | **15-CV-02228** | **(N.D. Ill.)** | **Greater Chautauqua Federal Credit Union, First Choice Federal Credit Union, Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Co., Governmental Employee Credit Union, and Oteen V.A. Federal Credit Union v. Kmart Corp. and Sears Holdings Corp.**  Plaintiffs have alleged common law claims and violations of state consumer fraud statutes based on Sears/Kmart’s allegedly inadequate security protocols. The lawsuits seek damages for the costs that Plaintiffs claim were incurred by financial institutions as a result of the Data Breach, such as card reissuance costs, amounts paid to cover fraud losses and other costs incurred on eligible accounts in responding to the Data Breach. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call or e-mail:**  **MURRAY LAW FIRM**  **Arthur M. Murray**  **650 Poydras Street**  **Suite 2150**  **New Orleans, LA 70130**  **504 525-8100 (Ph.)**  [**amurray@murraylawfirm.com**](mailto:amurray@murraylawfirm.com) |
| **8-29-2016** | **12-MD-02311** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (truck and equipment dealership indirect purchasers of Vehicle Wire Harness Systems)**  **Re Defendants: DENSO Corporation and**  **DENSO International America, Inc. (“DENSO”), Tokai Rika Co., Ltd. and TRAM, Inc., d/b/a Tokai Rika U.S.A. Inc. (“Tokai Rika”), LEONI Wiring Systems, Inc. and Leonische Holding Inc. (“LEONI”), Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. and American Furukawa, Inc. (“Furukawa”), Autoliv, Inc., Autoliv ASP, Inc., Autoliv B.V. & Co. KG, and Autoliv Japan Ltd. (“Autoliv”), and ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp. (formerly known as “TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.”) and TRW Deutschland Holding GmbH (“TRW”) (collectively, “Settling Defendants”)**  Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants in each lawsuit agreed to unlawfully raise the price of certain motor vehicle component parts. As a result, dealers of Trucks and/or Equipment who purchased new Trucks and/or Equipment containing those parts or who indirectly purchased those parts as replacement parts, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant or any subsidiary, affiliate, or co-conspirator of a Defendant may have paid more than they should have. | **Not set yet** | **For more information call or visit:**  **1 866 742-4955 (Ph.)**  [**www.TruckDealerSettlement.com**](http://www.TruckDealerSettlement.com) |
| **8-29-2016** | **13-CV-00825** | **(E.D. Va.)** | **Kelvin M. Thomas, et al. v. FTS USA, LLC, et al.**  Plaintiffs allege that FTS and UniTek Global Services, Inc. (“UniTek”) (collectively, “Defendants”) took an adverse-employment action against Plaintiffs and it is alleged that FTS and UniTek failed to first provide Plaintiffs notice as required by the Fair Credit Reporting Act provision 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3). | **Not set yet** | **For more information write to:**  **Leonard A. Bennett**  **Counsumer Litigation Associates, P.C.**  **763 J. Clyde Morris Blvd. 1A**  **Newport News, VA 23601** |
| **8-31-2016** | **15-CV-02076** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Joseph C. Messineo v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC**  Fairness Hearing Date set, for more information see CAFA notice dated 8-13-2016 | **1-27-2017** | **For more information**  **see CAFA Notice dated 8-13-2016** |
| **8-31-2016** | **12-MD-02311**  **15-CV-12050**  **15-CV-00607** | **(E.D. Mich.)** | **In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, Occupant Safety Systems Cases (Truck and Equipment Dealer Cases)**  Plaintiffs allege that they were injured as a result of TRW’s participation in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, and/or stabilize prices, rig bids, and allocate markets and customers for Occupant Safety Restraint Systems in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various statement antitrust, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws. | **Not set yet** | **For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:**  **Wayne A. Mack**  **J. Manly Parks**  **Duane Morris LLP**  **30 S. 17th Street**  **Philadelphia, PA 19103**  **215 979-1000 (Ph.)**  **215 979-1020 (Fax)**  [**WAMack@duanemorris.com**](mailto:WAMack@duanemorris.com)  [**JMParks@duanemorris.com**](mailto:JMParks@duanemorris.com) |
| **8-31-2016** | **11-CV-04766** | **(N.D. Cal.)** | **Matthew Edwards, et al. v. National Milk Producers, et al.**  **National Milk Producers Federation a.k.a. Cooperatives Working together Dairy Farmers of America, Inc., Land O’ Lakes, Inc., Dairylea Cooperative, Inc., and Agri-Mark, Inc. (collectively “Defendants”)**  This antitrust lawsuit alleges a nationwide conspiracy by Cooperatives Working Together and its members to limit the production of raw farm milk by prematurely slaughtering cows, in order to illegally increase the price of milk and other fresh milk products. | **12-16-2016** | **For more information visit:**  [**www.boughtmilk.com**](http://www.boughtmilk.com) |