

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
8-1-2013	12-CV-1109	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>In re: Sulfuric Acid Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that certain manufacturers of sulfuric acid agreed, in violation of the federal antitrust laws, to restrict output and raise prices of sulfuric acid sold to their customers in the U.S. The Class Period certified by the Court is 1-1-1988, through 1-16-2003.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased Sulfuric Acid in the U.S., directly from any of following Defendants at any time between 1-1-1998 to 1-16-2003:</p> <p>E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Norfalco LLC, Noranda Inc., Noranda DuPont LLC, Falconbridge Ltd., Pressure Vessel Services, Inc., PVS Chemicals, Inc. (Ohio), PVS Chemical Solutions, Inc., PVS Nolwood Chemicals, Inc., GAC Chemical Corporation, Marsulex, Inc., Chemtrade Logistics (U.S.), Inc., Intertrade Holding, Inc., Koch Sulfur Products Company, or Koch Sulfur Products Company, LLC.</p>	11-26-2013	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Mary Jane Fait Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz, LLC 55 West Monroe Suite 1111 Chicago, IL. 60603</p> <p>312 984-0000 312 984-0001</p>
8-2-2013	12-CV-60936	(S.D. Fla.)	<p>Pulley, et al. v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., et al. Plaintiffs allege that when borrower was required to have a separate wind insurance policy for his or her property pursuant to a residential mortgage loan or line of credit, but the wind insurance rider did not exist or had lapsed, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and affiliated entities ("Chase Defendants") required wind insurance from certain insurers</p>	11-22-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Krishna Narine Meredith & Narine 100 South Broad St. Suite 905 Philadelphia, PA. 19110</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>that was over-priced. Plaintiffs further allege that the Chase Defendants did so primarily for kickbacks in the form of commissions from lender-placed insurers, including certain underwriting companies associated with Assurant, Inc.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the U.S. that have or had a residential mortgage loan or line of credit serviced by the Chase Defendants and secured by property on which wind insurance was lender-placed at any time between 1-1-2008 and 3-4-2013.</p>		
8-2-2013	11-MD-2233	(S.D. Ohio)	<p>In re: Porsche Cars North America, Inc., Plastic Coolant Tubes Products Liability Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege in their Consolidated Amended Complaint that Porsche sold the Class Vehicles with defective plastic coolant pipes, which have or will prematurely degrade and fracture. Plaintiffs further allege that Porsche knew of this possibility and failed to disclose it to consumers.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the U.S. who currently own or lease or previously owned or leased a Class Vehicle in the U.S., including model year 2003 to 2006 Porsche Cayenne vehicles with V8 engines (all types), manufactured between 1-28-2002 and 12-5-2006.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Niall P. McCarthy Justin T. Berger Eric J. Buescher Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP 840 Malcolm road Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010</p>
8-2-2013	12-CV-01527	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Benware, et al. v. Hugo Boss, U.S.A., Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Hugo Boss violated the</p>	Not set yet	For more information write to:

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act by willfully printing the expiration dates of the class members' credit and debit cards on printed receipts for purchases made at a Hugo Boss retail store in the U.S. between 6-4-2008 and 7-10-2012.</p> <p>Class Members are all customers who, within the applicable statute of limitations, made a purchase at a Hugo Boss retail store in the U.S. between 6-4-2008 and 7-10-2012 and received an electronically printed receipt at the point of sale which did not truncate the expiration date of the customers' payment card.</p>		<p>Todd D. Carpenter Carpenter Law Group 402 W. Broadway 29th Floor San Diego, CA 92101</p>
8-2-2013	09-MD-2007	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Aftermarket Automotive Lighting Products Antitrust Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that during the Class Period (7-29-2001 to 2-10-2009), Defendants (a) Eagle Eyes Traffic Industrial Co. Ltd. and E-Lite Automotive, Inc. (collectively, "the Eagle Eyes Defendants"); (b) TYC Brother Industrial Co. Ltd. and Genera Corp. (collectively, "the TYC Defendants"); (c) Depo Auto Parts Industrial Co. Ltd and Maxzone Vehicle Lighting Corp. (collectively, "the Depo Defendants"); and (d) Sabry Lee (U.S.A), Inc. and Sabry Lee Ltd. (collectively, "the Sabry Lee Defendants") violated the U.S. federal antitrust laws by agreeing to fix prices and allocate customers for Aftermarket Automotive Lighting Products ("AALPs").</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities that</p>	9-17-2013	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Jason S. Hartley Stueve Siegel Hanson LLP 550 West C Street Suite 610 San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>619 400-5822</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			purchased AALPs in the United States, and its territories and possessions, directly from a Defendant between at least as early as 7-29-2001 through 2-10-2009.		
8-3-2013	12-CV-00725	(C.D. Cal.)	Kulesa v. PC Cleaner, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that PC Cleaner, Inc. (PCI) Software Products did not perform certain functions as advertised. Class Members are all individuals and entities residing in the United States and its territories that, on or before [date of preliminary approval], purchased any and all versions of the following software: PC Cleaner Pro 2011, PC Cleaner Pro 2012 and PC Cleaner Pro 2013.	Not set yet	For more information write to: Jay Edelson Benjamin H. Richmon Chandler R. Givens Edelson LLC 350 N. LaSalle Suite 1300 Chicago, Ill. 60654
8-8-2013	10-CV-04809	(N.D. Cal.)	In re: Google Referrer Header Privacy Litigation Plaintiffs allege: 1) violation of the Electronic Communications Act; 2) breach of contract; 3) violations of California's Unfair Competition Law and 4) unjust enrichment. Class Members are all persons in the United States who submitted a search query to Google at any time between 10-25-2006 and the date of the notice to the class of certification.	2-14-2014	For more information write, call or fax: Michael J. Aschenbrener Nassiri & Jung LLP 47 Kearny Street Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94108 415 762-3100 415 534-3200
8-8-2013	09-CV-335	(M.D. Fla.)	Demsheck, et al. v. Ginn Development Company, LLC Plaintiffs allege that Ginn and Lubert-Adler	Not set yet	For more information visit www.realestatedevelop.com

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Partners, LP, developed, marketed and sold residential real estate, engaged in a scheme to manipulate the values of the real estate, and did not follow certain requirements for the sale of such real estate.</p> <p>Class Members are all entities and natural persons that took title to real estate (e.g., undeveloped land, a condominium, a townhouse, etc.) in a development operated or developed by Ginn or any of Ginn's past or present subsidiaries, divisions, related or sister or affiliated entities (collectively, the "Ginn Developers") directly from the Ginn Developers in connection with a purchase contract that was fully executed between 4-13-2006 and 4-13-2009.</p>		pmentsettlement.com .
8-9-2013	12-CV-05186	(W.D. Wash.)	<p>Lloyd Clemans v. New Werner Co., et al. Plaintiff alleges that New Werner Co. made a steel "Easy Access Attic Ladder" in Model Numbers S2208 and S2210, Marks 1, 2, 3 or 4 (the "Ladders") that contained defective zinc hinges.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities in the U.S. who currently own a Werner Model S2208 or S2210 steel attic ladder designated as Marks 1, 2, 3 or 4 (an attic ladder manufactured from 9-2003 to 9-2005) containing one or more cast zinc hinges.</p>	11-22-2013	<p>For more information call or e-mail:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Settlement Administrator</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 855 291-2124</p> <p style="text-align: center;">info@atticladdersettlement.com</p>
8-12-2013	10-CV-01993	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Just Film, Inc., et al. v. Merchant Services, Inc., et al. Notice is given pursuant to the Court's 7-12-</p>	11-21-2013	For more information visit:

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>2013 order granting the 7-11-2013 stipulation and order to continue dates set forth in the Court's 6-24-2013 order granting preliminary approval of a class action settlement, we now write to serve you with a copy of the Court's July 12, 2013 order, the July 11, 2013 stipulation and [proposed] order, and an updated list of the settlement class members. See CAFA Notice dated 6-14-2013.</p>		<p>www.justfilmsettleme nt.com</p>
8-12-2013	12-CV-06204	(D.N.J.)	<p>Koertge and Fenster, et al., v LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. Plaintiffs allege that the LG LHB975 Network Blue-ray Disc™ Home Theater System ("Home Theater System") is improperly designed and, as a result, the mating between the wireless subwoofer, wireless speakers and the main unit of the Home Theater System fails prematurely, necessitating repair or replacement.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States who have purchased, not for resale, the Home Theater System sold by LG Electronics USA.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>J. Paul Gignac Arias Ozzello & Gignac, LLP 115 South La Cumbre Lane Suite 300 Santa Barbara, CA 93105</p> <p>James C. Shah, Shepherd, Finkelman, Miller & Shah, LLP 475 White Horse Pike Collingswood, NJ 08107</p>
8-15-2013	11-CV-2856	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>David Osada and Kimberly Hovanec v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Defendant") is in violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") (the "Litigation"). Specifically, plaintiffs allege Experian's letter rejecting police reports more than one year old violated the FCRA, and Experian's letter stating an identity theft</p>	11-14-2013	<p>For more information write, call or visit:</p> <p>Keith Keogh Temonthy Sostrin Keogh Law, Ltd. 55 W. Monroe Suite 34390</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>report does not meet guidelines of the FCRA was inadequate and thus violated the FCRA.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who may fall within one of the two classes:</p> <p>Class A (One Year letter) is defined as: "All persons from whom (1) Experian received an identity theft report and written request to block information in that person's consumer report alleged to result from the identity theft; and (2) in response to which Experian, between 4-28-2009 and 5-18-2011, informed the consumers that an amended/new police report was required to maintain an active identity theft block on their credit report.</p> <p>Class B (Does Not Meet the guidelines letter) is defined as: "All persons to whom Experian sent a letter between 4-28-2009 and 5-18-2011 requesting additional information in order to set up the identify theft block on consumer credit reports.</p>		<p>Chicago, IL 60603</p> <p>312 374-3406</p> <p>ExperianSettlement@Keoghlaw.com</p>
8-16-2013	12-CV-11035	(E.D. Mich.)	<p>Franke v. Advantage Direct365, Corp., Davis Law Group, P.C., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendant Advantage Direct365 Corp. ("Advantage Direct365") willfully violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act. The complaint specifically alleges that Advantage Direct365 violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f) by knowingly and willingly accessing and using the consumer reports of thousands of individuals.</p>	9-18-2013	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Ian b. Lyngklip Julie Petrik Lyngklip & Associates Consumer Law Center, P.L.C. 24500 Northwestern Highway, #206 Southfield, Mich. 48075</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all persons residing in the United States (including its territories and Puerto Rico) about whom Advantage Direct365 received, from consumer lists, private financial information including but not limited to FICO scores, Beacon Scores, Debt Loads, Debt Utilization Rates, and Lien information.</p>		248 208-8864
8-16-2013	09-CV-1485	(W.D. Wash.)	<p>Bruce Keithly, et al., v. Intelius Inc., et al., (Defendant) v. Adaptive Marketing, LLC, (Third Party Defendant) Plaintiffs allege that Intelius deceived customers into signing up for membership programs and forwarded Plaintiffs' credit card information to other vendors without Plaintiffs' permission.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons residing in the United States who, at any time between 7-2007 and [preliminary approval date] (1) provided credit or debit card information to a website owned, operated or controlled by Intelis, and were subsequently charged for member services offered through post-transaction marketing by or for the benefit of Intelius and/or Adaptive Marketing LLC, and (2) have not enabled or used any benefits associated with the services.</p>	11-21-2013	<p>For more information write: Keller Rohrback L.L.P. Mark A. Griffin Karin B. Swope 1201 Third Avenue Suite 3200 Seattle, Wash. 98101</p>
8-19-2013	12-CV-11064	(D. Mass.)	<p>Cintron, et al. v. BJ's Wholesale Club, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that Asset Protection Managers (also called Loss Prevention Managers) and</p>	2-4-2014	<p>For more information call, fax or e-mail: Lisa A. Schreter Littler Medelson, P.C.</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Personnel Managers were not paid proper overtime wages for weeks in which they worked more than 40 hours because they were classified by the company as "exempt" from federal and state overtime pay requirements.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who worked for BJ's in Asset Protection Manger, Loss Prevention Manager, and Personnel Manager positions between 7-19-2009 and [not set yet], 2013.</p>		<p>3344 Peachtree Road N.E. Suite 1500 Atlanta, GA 30326</p> <p>404 760-3938</p> <p>404 795-0758</p>
8-21-2013	10-CV-10610	(E.D. Mich.)	<p>Debra Griffin, et al. v. Flagstar Bancorp, Inc., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Flagstar and other individuals breached their fiduciary duties to the Flagstar Bank 401(k) Plan, and the Plan's participants and beneficiaries, by allowing the Plan to purchase and hold shares of Flagstar common stock when Flagstar's common stock was not a prudent investment option for the Plan due to the company's financial situation from 12-31-2006 to the present.</p> <p>Class Members are all current and former participants and beneficiaries of the Flagstar Bank 401(k) Plan for whose individual accounts the Plan held shares of common stock of Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. at any time from 12-31-2006 to the Agreement Execution Date, inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Stull, Stull & Brody Attn: Michael J. Klein 6 East 45th Street New York, NY 10017</p> <p>Izard Nobel LLP Attn: Robert A. Izard 29 South Main Street Suite 215 West Hartford, CT 06107</p>
8-22-2013	10-CV-318	(D. Md.)	<p>In re Titanium Dioxide Antitrust Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants and certain co-conspirators unlawfully conspired to fix,</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Solomon B. Cera</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>raise, maintain or stabilize the prices of titanium dioxide sold in the United States during the Class Period in violation of the federal antitrust laws, namely, Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 14 U.S.C. § 1. Plaintiffs claim that, as a result of these alleged violations of the antitrust laws, they and other members of the Class paid more for titanium dioxide than they would have paid absent such wrongful conduct.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who purchased titanium dioxide in the United States directly from one or more Defendants or Tronox, Inc., or from any predecessors, parents, subsidiaries, or affiliates thereof, between 1-1-2003 and the present.</p>		<p>C. Andrew Dirksen Gold Bennett Cera & Sidener LLP 595 Market Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 415 777-2230</p>
8-23-2013	12-CV-00350	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Custom LED, LLC v. eBay Inc., eBay Europe S.A.R.L., and eBay International AG Plaintiff alleges that persons who paid for a "Featured Plus!" listing on eBay's website did not receive the service as agreed: 1) listing should have appeared "at the top of the search results list page"; 2) Featured Plus! listings received no priority in search results; 3) the Featured Plus! option was entirely non-functional from 1-23-2008 to 2-4-2013, which resulted in Featured Plus! listings receiving no search result priority whatsoever, regardless of where the search was initiated; and 4) the Class did not receive benefits promised by eBay in exchange for Featured Plus! listing fees.</p>	1-9-2014	<p>For more information write or visit:</p> <p>Keith R. Verges Figari & Bavenport, LLP 901 Main Street Suite 3400 Dallas, TX 72202 info@ebayfeaturedplusclassaction.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all eBay members in the United States who, from 1-23-2008 to the present, listed items for sale on eBay's websites with the Featured Plus! upgrade, and incurred the Featured Plus! fees in connection with such listings.</p>		
8-26-2013	05-CV-6735	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: American Equity Annuity Practices and Sales Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that American Equity targeted senior consumers and sold them deferred annuities with undisclosed sales loads and illusory premium bonuses and crediting options. Plaintiffs allege that undisclosed costs adversely impacted the performance of the American Equity Annuities.</p> <p>The proposed Settlement provides potential relief for two separate categories of Class Members: a California Class and a Nationwide Class.</p> <p>California Class consists of policy owners residing in the State of California who were 60 years of age or older, or if jointly owned where one of the owners was 60 years of age or older, and if Trust owned where a grantor of the Trust was 60 years of age or older at the time the annuity was purchased, and who purchased such contracts between 1-3-2000 and 6-30-2011.</p> <p>Nationwide Class consists of policy owners - both individuals and trusts - who were not California residents and who were 65 years of</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Andrew S. Friedman Bonnett Fairbourn Friedman & Balint 2901 N. Central Avenue Suite 1000 Phoenix, AZ 85012</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			age or older, or if jointly owned where one of the joint owners were 65 years of age or older, and if Trust owned where a grantor of the Trust was 65 years of age or older at the time the annuity was purchased, and who purchased their contracts between 1-3-2000 and 12-31-2009.		
8-26-2013	11-CV-01061	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: China Medicine Corporation Securities Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants improperly overstated China Medicine's revenues and financial condition in certain prior period financial statements filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), and that China Medicine's stock price declined after it later announced it had identified certain "accounting and reporting errors" in its financial statements. Lead Plaintiff asserted that, as a result of allegedly improper activities concerning China Medicine's financial reporting, the price of its stock had been artificially and improperly inflated.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who: (i) purchased or acquired China Medicine stock from 2-8-2006 (the first date on which China Medicine stock was available in the United States) to 1-31-2013 (the date of the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement); and (ii) were allegedly damaged by their transaction(s).</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>Kristen H. Spira 2121 Avenue of the Stars Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067</p> <p>310 734-3278 310 734-3178</p> <p>kspira@steptoe.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
8-26-2013	12-CV-01561	(D. Colo.)	<p>Tuten v. United Air Lines, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that United pilots who had taken one or more long-term military leaves between 2000 and 2010 were entitled to receive contributions in their Pilots' Directed Account Retirement Income Plan ("PDAP") account after returning from those leaves based on each pilot's average compensation over the 12 months prior to each period of leave; that between 2000 and 2010, United made such pension contributions based on compensation associated with the minimum flight hours established by the pilots' collective bargaining agreement ("CBA"); that the monthly flight hours guaranteed under the pilots' CBA were lower than the average actual hours that many such pilots flew during the 12 months prior to their long-term military leave; and that United's calculation of the contribution amounts caused class members to receive smaller PDAP contributions than they should have, in violation of the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act.</p> <p>Class Members are: (1) all former or current pilots employed by United who participated in the PDAP between 1-1-2000 and 10-31-2010; and (2) who were on a Long Term Military Leave that began and ended between 1-1-2000 and 10-31-2010; and (3) on whose behalf United made defined retirement plan contributions based on the monthly minimum flight hours guaranteed under the pilots' CBA; and (4) whose average flight hours during the 12-month period that immediately preceded a period of Long Term</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>R. Joseph Barton Peter Romer-Friedman Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC 1100 New York Ave. NW Suite 500 West Tower Washington, DC 20005</p> <p>Fax: (202) 408-4699</p> <p>jbarton@cohenmilstein.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Military Leave or, if shorter than 12 months, the period of employment immediately preceding such period of military leave, exceeded the monthly minimum flight hours guaranteed under the pilots' CBA.		
8-26-2013	12-CV-01200	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Keirsev v. eBay Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant incorrectly charged the Disputed Fees, when listings were placed with an eBay Mobile application (via iPhone, iPad, Blackberry, Android or the eBay mobile website) (collectively, "eBay Mobile").</p> <p>Class Members are all natural persons and entities who are United States residents who, between 1-1-2009 and 10-31-2012 (the "Class Period"), listed items for sale on eBay's websites using eBay Mobile (via iPhone, iPad, Blackberry, Android or the eBay Mobile website), and incurred Picture Pack, Gallery Plus, and International Listing fees (collectively, the "Disputed Fees") in connection with such listing.</p>	Not set yet.	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Keith R. Verges Figari & Davenport, LLP 901 Main Street Suite 3400 Dallas, TX 75202</p>
8-27-2013	09-CV-5099	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>Dugan, et al. v. Towers Perrin, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Towers Perrin and its principals made mutual commitments and a trust to remain privately owned, thus precluding one group of principals from usurping the market value of the firm for itself. The complaint alleges that the commitments were made both orally and in documents cited in the complaint. The plaintiffs are seeking appropriate damages</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, visit or call:</p> <p>Francis J. Menton, Jr. Steven H. Reisberg Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP 787 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>for breach of the commitment and trust to keep Towers Perrin privately owned and/or an appropriate equitable allocation of the windfall from the public sale of Towers Perrin among the current and retired principals.</p> <p>On 6-28-2009, Towers Perrin announced that its Board of Directors had agreed to merge with a publicly traded professional services firm called Watson Wyatt. As a result of the merger, which was completed on 1-1-2010, Towers Perrin is no longer privately owned. Under the terms of the deal, the current generation of Towers Perrin principals received shares in the merged entity that will become salable at a multiple of book value. No provision was made for retired Towers Perrin shareholders. As a result, ten former members of the Towers Perrin management committee have brought an action on behalf of all Towers Perrin principals who retired between 1-1-1971 and the recent recapitalization of Towers Perrin, converting preferred shares to common.</p> <p>Class Members are all former Towers Perrin principals (or the estates of such principals) who ceased to be principals on or after 1-1-1971 and on or prior to 6-1-2005.</p>		<p>www.TowersPerrinRetireeLitigation.com</p> <p>1 855 529-2292</p>
8-30-2013	12-CV-06002	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Golloher, et al. v. Todd Christopher International, Inc., DBA Vogue International, et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that the labeling, packaging and advertising of Organix brand hair care and</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Kieran G. Doyle Cowan, Liebowitz & Latman 1133 Avenue of the</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>skin care products misled consumers to believe that the products were wholly or at least mostly organic.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased at least one Organix brand hair care or skin care product on or after 10-25-2008.</p>		<p>Americas New York, NY 10036</p>
8-30-2013	05-CV-6583	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>McReynolds, et al. v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Merrill Lynch implemented and utilized policies that had an unlawful disparate impact against African American Financial Advisors and Financial Advisor Trainees, and that Merrill Lynch engaged in a pattern or practice of racial discrimination against African American Financial Advisors and Financial Advisor Trainees with respect to, among other things, Merrill Lynch's hiring, training, compensation, promotion, teaming, and account distributions policies and practices.</p> <p>Class Members are all African American Financial Advisors or Financial Advisor Trainees who have been assigned a production number in the domestic U.S. retail brokerage unit within the Global Private Client division of Merrill Lynch at any time from 5-6-2001 through [Preliminary Approval Date].</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Linda D. Friedman Suzanne E. Bish George S. Robot Stowell & Friedman, LTD. 303 W. Madison 26th Floor Chicago, IL 60606</p> <p>312 431-0888</p> <p>lfriedman@sfltd.com</p> <p>sbish@sfltd.com</p> <p>grobot@sfltd.com</p> <p>www.merrillracesettlement.com</p>