

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
4-1-2013	11-CV-02735	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Mark Duffer v. Chattem, Inc. Plaintiff alleged that Defendant misrepresented that ACT® Total Care Anticavity Fluoride Mouthwash provided comprehensive oral care health benefits, including the ability to reduce, remove, or otherwise fight plaque.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers of ACT® Total Care Anticaviy Fluoride Mouthwash in the United States between 1-1-2009 and 6-30-2010.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Elaine A. Ryan Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balint, P.C. 2325 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, AZ 85016</p>
4-1-2013	12-CV-01274	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Patrick A. Burrows v. Purchasing Power, LLC Plaintiffs allege that Winn-Dixie and Purchasing Power agreed to provide an employee benefit program for the benefit of Winn-Dixie employees, that Winn-Dixie provided to Purchasing Power certain personal data or personal identifying information of Winn-Dixie employees because Purchasing Power offered to them the opportunity to purchase products through payroll deductions, and that a Purchasing Power employee inappropriately accessed the personal data of Winn-Dixie employees. The Plaintiff contends that Purchasing Power is responsible for any loss suffered by Winn-Dixie employees as a result of this theft.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons throughout the United States who were employees of Winn-Dixie and who had their personal identifying information transferred by Winn-Dixie to Purchasing Power on or about 12-28-2009, who are listed on the Winn-Dixie eligibility file known</p>	10-4-2013	<p>For more information write to, call or e-mail:</p> <p>John A. Yanchunis, Sr. Morgan & Morgan 201 N. Franklin Street 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602</p> <p>813 223-5505</p> <p>813 223-5402</p> <p>jyanchunis@forthepeople.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			as elig_win_20091226.txt.		
4-1-2013	12-CV-01274	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Park, et al. v. Blue Buffalo Company, LTD Plaintiffs allege that Defendants failed, among other things, to compensate Pet Detectives for all hours worked and to reimburse them for incurred business expenses.</p> <p>Class Members are of two Classes:</p> <p>California Class Members: All persons who were employed by the Blue Buffalo Company, Ltd. and/or Great Plains Leasing LLC in the State of California as Pet Detectives (also referred to as Product Specialists and/or In-Store Sales Associates) at any time or times from 3-5-2008 through the date of preliminary approval of settlement as reflected by Defendants' records; and</p> <p>Oregon Class Members: All persons who were employed by the Blue Buffalo Company, Ltd. and/or Great Plains Leasing LLC in the State of Oregon as Pet Detectives (also referred to as Product Specialists and/or In-Store Sales Associates) at any time or times from 3-5-2008 through the date of preliminary approval of settlement as reflected by Defendants' records.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Patterson Law Group James R. Patterson Alisa A. Martin 402 West Broadway 29th Floor San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Steven D. Larson 209 S.W. Oak Street Suite 500 Portland, OR 97204</p>
4-2-2013	11-CV-05831	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Athale v. Sino Tech Energy Limited, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants issued a series of materially false and misleading statements to investors in SEC filings, press</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Robinns Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>releases, and analyst conference calls. Such statements caused Sinotech's share price to continue to trade at an artificially inflated level. Then, on 8-16-2011, a report was issued which included a detailed investigation exposing fraudulent activities regarding Sinotech and technologies related to its business of enhanced oil recovery. Following the release of the report, Sinotech's share price plummeted over 41%. Accordingly, Lead Plaintiff alleged that Defendants defrauded investors into purchasing the Company's shares during the Class Period, which caused investors to lose tens of millions of dollars.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased the American Depository Shares ("Shares") of Sinotech Energy Limited ("Sinotech" or the "Company") between 11-3-2010 and 8-16-2011, inclusive.</p>		<p>58 South Service Road Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747</p> <p>Or</p> <p>The Weiser Law Firm, P.C. 22 Cassatt Avenue Berwyn PA 19312</p>
4-2-2013	10-CV-2326 11-CV-2644 13-CV-0255	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>Kenneth J. Silver, et al. v. L.A. Fitness, Intl, LLC Joshua Vaughn v. L.A. Fitness, Intl. LCC Amalia Sible v. L.A. Fitness, Intl. LLC</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that LA Fitness breached its contracts by failing to timely process its customers' requests to cancel their Monthly Dues Membership Agreements and that LA Fitness's Monthly Dues Membership Agreements violated state laws by including unfair, confusing or misleading language concerning the amount of advance notice a customer must provide to LA Fitness in order to cancel a membership with no further charges and for the customer to get the</p>	9-19-2013	<p>For more information write, call or visit:</p> <p>Sherrie R. Savett, Berger & Montague, P.C. 1622 Locust Street Philadelphia PA 19103</p> <p>800 424-6690</p> <p>www.USGymSettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>benefit of his or her prepaid last month's dues. The lawsuit also challenges the terms, policies and contract forms used for the monthly dues membership.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who cancelled their monthly dues membership agreement with L.A. Fitness during the Class Period of 5-18-2006 to 1-1-2013.</p>		
4-3-2013	11-CV-1288	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Collins, et al. v. Oilsands Quest, Inc. (f/k/a Canwest Petroleum Corporation) Plaintiffs allege that Oilsands Quest, Inc., certain of its former officers, directors, and McDaniel, Oilsands' petroleum consultant, allegedly issued materially false and misleading public statements about: (1) the value of the company's mineral rights over more than a million acres of property in Canada's Alberta and Saskatchewan provinces; (2) the ability of the company to physically and economically recover oil from its property; and (3) the accuracy of the company's financial statements. Plaintiffs allege that these purportedly false and misleading statements inflated the price of Oilsands' stock, resulting in damages when the truth was revealed.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased or sold Oilsands Quest, Inc. shares or options between 3-20-2006 and 1-13-2011, inclusive.</p>	6-14-2013	<p>For more information write to or call:</p> <p>Scott+Scott Attorneys At Law, LLP Judith S. Scolnick The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue 40th Floor New York, NY 10174</p> <p>212 223-6444</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
4-4-2013	12-CV-06560	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Rachel Burns, Kristi Cruz, Susan Hussey, Jessica Keating, Egor Lazebnik, Brigid Nee, Aaron Poncinie, Samantha Young v. Kaplan, Inc., Aspect Education, Inc., and DOES 1 through 100</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the Fair Labor Standards Act for unpaid wages, unpaid overtime, underpaid minimum wages, meal and rest period violations, record-keeping violations, pay stub violations, wage statement violations, method of payment violations, class claims, collective claims, representatives claims, willful violations, penalties, interest, fees and costs. Plaintiffs seek damages and other relief on behalf of themselves and the members of the Class under federal, state and local laws of Massachusetts, Illinois, New York, Florida, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Washington and Washington DC. Plaintiffs seek recovery of compensatory damages, liquidated damages, restitution, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who were employed as hourly, non-exempt English as a Second Language ("ESL") teachers by Kaplan, Inc. or Aspect Education, Inc. ("Defendants") in the above mentioned states from 6-16-2005 through 11-15-2012.</p>	3-22-2013	<p>For more information write to, call, fax or email:</p> <p>Arif Virji Lynch Gilardi & Grummer 170 Columbus Avenue Fifth Floor San Francisco, CA 94133</p> <p>415 397-2800 415 397-0927 avirji@lgglaw.com</p>
4-5-2013	11-CV-3531	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Robert Scott v. ZST Digital Networks, Inc., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that ZST Digital Networks, Inc. ("ZSTN") violated sections 10(b) and 20(a)</p>	8-1-2013	<p>For more information write, email or call:</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Lead Plaintiff alleges that, during the Settlement Class Period, ZSTN's stock price was artificially inflated as a result of a series of untrue or materially misleading statements concerning the disparate reporting of ZSTN's financials to Chinese and to American regulators. Lead Plaintiff further contends that Defendants made these statements knowing them to be false or misleading or recklessly disregarding their false or misleading natures, and investors suffered injury as a result of the alleged inflation.</p> <p>Class Members purchased or otherwise acquired ZSTN securities from 10-20-2009 through and including 4-21-2011, and were damaged thereby.</p>		<p>Frank & Bianco LLP 275 Madison Avenue Suite 801 New York, NY 10016</p> <p>info@frankandbianco.com</p> <p>212 682-1818</p>
4-5-2013	11-CV-01826	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Davis v. Cole Haan, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant requested and recorded personal identification information in conjunction with a credit card transaction in California from 3-4-2010 through the date of commencement of trial in this action.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in California from whom Defendant requested and recorded personal identification information in conjunction with a credit card transaction during the period of time beginning 3-4-2010 and continuing through the date of trial.</p>	5-24-2013	<p>For more information call or email:</p> <p>Michelle C. Doolin 858 550-6043 doolinmc@cooley.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
4-8-2013	09-CV-07	(W.D. Okla.)	<p>J.C. Hill and Alice Hill, et al. v. Kaiser-Francis Oil Company</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Kaiser-Francis underpaid royalties during the time period from 6-1-2002 to the present. Since this case was filed, Kaiser-Francis has refunded to royalty owners certain "affiliated charges" and waived those costs going forward. Plaintiffs' other claims against Kaiser-Francis include breach of the lease contracts, breach of the implied duties to market; breach of fiduciary duty; and violations of the Oklahoma Production Revenue Standards Act (PRSA), including improper royalty calculations and deductions, and failure to disclose such deductions.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who own or owned minerals in the State of Oklahoma subject to an oil and gas lease from 6-1-2002 to the present, wherein: (1) they received royalty on the sale and disposition of gas attributable to Kaiser-Francis's interest in Oklahoma properties; and (2) their royalty payments were reduced as a result of the reduction of production volumes and/or production proceeds expended for marketing, gathering, compressing, dehydrating, treating, processing or transporting of hydrocarbons produced from the unit.</p>	7-30-2013	<p>For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>Michael L. Darrah R. Brad Miller E. Edd Pritchett, Jr. Jami Rhoades Antonisse Mark E. Bialick Durbin, Larimore & Bialick 920 North Harvey Oklahoma City, OK 73102</p> <p>405 235-9584 405 235-0551 dlb@dlb.net</p>
4-8-2013	11-CV-01802	(E.D. Cal.)	<p>Joan Wilkening, Tara Missel, Christopher Hughes, and Sabrina Graham, v. Gags and Games, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege: 1) Defendant's failure to provide or make available itemized statements in</p>	5-1-2013	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Law Offices of Sohnen & Kelly Harvey</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>violation of Labor Code § 226(a); 2) Defendant's failure to make payment in a negotiable instrument in violation of Labor Code § 212; 3) Defendant's automatically depositing wages into an account outside of California in violation of Labor Code §§ 212 & 213; 4) penalty claims under Private Attorney General Act, Labor Code § 2698, et seq. for violations of Labor Code sections 201, 212, 213, 226, and 5) a claim for waiting time penalties, Labor Code § 203.</p> <p>Class Members are all current or former hourly employees, sales associates, assistant store managers, or store managers of Gags and Games, Inc. (Halloween City) employed at anytime from 8-1-2010 through (date of entry of order of preliminary approval) in the State of California.</p>		<p>Sohnen, Patricia M. Kelly 2 Theatre Square Suite 230 Orinda, CA 94563</p> <p>925 258-9300 888 968-1088</p> <p>925 258-9315</p>
4-8-2013	10-CV-01147	(S.D. Ohio)	<p>Scott Ehlers and Frank Harmon v. Restoration Hardware, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Restoration Hardware, Inc., ("RH") violated the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, as amended ("FACTA"), 15 U.S.C. §1681, et seq., by issuing receipts at the point of sale that contained more than the last five numbers of customers' credit or debit card and/or the card's expiration date.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased or engaged in a transaction at a RH store in the United States between 3-3-2010 and 1-10-2011, using a credit or debit card and received an electronic receipt that contained more than the last 5</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or e-mail:</p> <p>Jonathan K. Stock Shawn J. Organ Douglas R. Cole Organ Cole + Stock, LLP 1335 Dublin Road Suite 104D Columbus, Ohio 43215</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			numbers of a credit or debit card and/or the expiration date.		
4-8-2013	12-CV-03937	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Newman v. Network Equipment Technologies, Inc. et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants breached and/or aided and abetted the breach of their fiduciary duties to NET shareholders, by seeking to sell NET through an allegedly unfair process and allegedly for an unfair price and on unfair terms.</p> <p>Class Members are all recorders and beneficial holders of Network Equipment Technologies, Inc. ("NET") common stock, their respective successors and predecessors in interest, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, heirs, assigns or transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or claiming under any of them, and each of them, together with their predecessors and successors and assigns, who held Net common stock at any time between and including 6-18-2012 and 8-14-2012.</p>	8-1-2013	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>John F. Batter III Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109</p> <p>617 526-6000 617 526-5000</p>
4-11-2013	05-CV-00453	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Animan Science Products, Inc., et al. v. Hebei Welcome Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., et al. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to fix the price of vitamin C at non-competitive levels and to limit the supply of vitamin C for export to the United States. One of the defendant manufacturers, Aland (Jiangsu) Nutraceutical Co., Ltd. ("Aland"), entered into</p>	8-29-2013	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>1-866 684-9673</p> <p>www.vitaminCantitrust.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>two settlement agreements with certain plaintiff classes which the Court preliminarily approved on 6-13-2012. Since then, notice of the settlements has been sent to members of the plaintiff classes and objections have been received. On 10-17-2012, the Court held a fairness hearing on the settlements as well as plaintiffs' counsels' requests for fees and expenses.</p> <p>There are three Settlement classes: 1) Direct Purchaser, 2) Indirect Purchaser, and 3) Injunction, listed below:</p> <p>Direct Purchaser Class: 1) purchased vitamin C, 2) for delivery in the U.S., 3) directly from a Defendant or another Chinese manufacturer of vitamin C (except Northeast Pharmaceutical), 4) between 12-1-2001 and 6-30-2006 and 5) without a contract, or with a contract that did not include an arbitration clause.</p> <p>Indirect Purchaser Class: 1) purchased capsules or tablets containing Vitamin C, 2) for use or consumption and not for resale, 3) between 12-1-2001 and 6-30-2006 and 4) currently live in and made the purchase in one of the following 20 states or the District of Columbia: Arizona, California, Florida, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, West Virginia, or Wisconsin.</p> <p>Injunction Class: 1) purchased vitamin C</p>		

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>manufactured by a Defendant, or products from any company containing vitamin C manufactured by the Defendants, 2) directly from a Defendant or from any other company, 3) for delivery in the U.S., 4) between 12-1-2001 and now and 5) without a contract, or with a contract that did not include an arbitration clause.</p>		
4-11-2013	11-CV-5114	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>James E. Fisher and on Behalf of all Others Similarly Situated v. Suffolk Bancorp, J. Gordon Huszagh, and Stacy L. Moran</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants misrepresented the effectiveness of Suffolk's internal controls and engaged in a variety of unsafe and unsound banking practices during the Class Period, inhibiting Suffolk's ability to monitor and assess Suffolk County National Bank's loan portfolio, thereby causing Suffolk's common stock prices to be artificially inflated during the Class Period.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased the common stock of Suffolk during the period from 3-12-2010 through 8-10-2011, inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to or call:</p> <p>Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP Rick Nelson c/o Shareholder Relations 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>1 800 449-4900</p>
4-12-2013	08-CV-2433	(E.D. Penn.)	<p>In re: Wellbutrin XL Antitrust Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the antitrust and/or consumer protection laws of California, Florida, Nevada, New York, Tennessee and Wisconsin by conspiring to delay generic competition for Wellbutrin XL through four means: (1) filing allegedly baseless patent infringement actions against manufacturers of</p>	6-13-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Andrew D. Lazerow Covington & Burling LLP 1201 Penn., Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>generic versions of Wellbutrin XL, (2) wrongfully listing the patent in the FDA's Orange Book, (3) filing a Citizen Petition against generic versions of Wellbutrin XL and (4) entering settlement agreements with generic manufacturers.</p> <p>The Court has decided that this lawsuit should proceed as a class action on behalf of two "Class":</p> <p>Third-Party Payer is a Member of the Class if the Payer: purchased an AB-rated generic equivalent of Wellbutrin XL® ("Generic XL") in California, Florida, Nevada, New York, Tennessee and/or purchased 150mg or 300mg Wellbutrin XL in California, Florida, Nevada, New York, Tennessee and/or Wisconsin before Generic XL was available for such dosages and purchased Generic XL in the same state after it became available.</p> <p>Consumers include all persons who paid all or part of the purchase price for the 150mg and/or 300mg dosage of Generic XL for purchases made in California, Florida, Nevada, New York, Tennessee and/or Wisconsin. Consumers whose insurance plans required them to make a flat co-payment (e.g. \$5.00 for a generic drug prescription) are not included in the Class, while consumers who are obligated to pay a percentage of the purchase price (e.g. 20% of the price for a generic prescription) are included. The Class period begins on 11-14-2005 and ends on 4-29-2011.</p>		

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
4-15-2013	11-CV-02911	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Haier Freezer Consumer Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendant Haier America Trading, LLC ("HAT") sold its model HNCM070E 7.0 cubic foot chest freezer in violation of the federal standard for maximum energy consumption and misrepresented the energy consumption of those chest freezers on their ENERGYGUIDE labels.</p> <p>Class Members are all purchasers of a Haier model HNCM070E 7.0 cubic foot chest freezer that was manufactured on or after 6-1-2009.</p>	5-10-2013	<p>For more information visit: www.haierfreezersettlement.com</p>
4-15-2013	11-CV-00181	(E.D. Tenn.)	<p>Bell, et al. v. US Xpress, Inc. ("USX") Plaintiffs allege that USX did not comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act in the manner in which it obtained and/or relied upon or used the consumer reports of certain job applicants.</p> <p>Class Members are all consumers residing in the United States who applied for truck driving positions with US Xpress during the Class Period via facsimile, telephone, electronic mail, internet, or other non-in-person means, and for whom US Xpress procured consumer report information.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.classactionusx.com</p>
4-17-2013	10-CV-00638	(S.D. Ohio)	<p>Patrick D. Michael, DDS, et al. and Dr. Mark W. Sturdy d/b/a Rochester Veterinary Clinic v. WM Healthcare Solutions, Inc. and SK&A Information Services, Inc. Plaintiffs, allege that Defendants violated the</p>	9-10-2031	<p>For more information visit: http://www.ohsd.uscourts.gov/crmecf.htm</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227 ("TCPA"), and state law by sending unsolicited facsimile advertisements.</p> <p>Class Members are: (a) all persons and entities throughout the United States, (b) who, on or after 8-14-2006 through 8-23-2012, (c) were sent unsolicited facsimile advertisements by or on behalf of defendant WM Healthcare Solutions, Inc., promoting its goods or services for sale.</p>		
4-15-2013	09-CV-0670	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Mahan, et al. v. Trex Company, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that certain Trex non-shelled wood-plastic composite decking, railing, and fencing products ("Trex Product"), purchased between 8-1-2004 and date of preliminary hearing (the "Class Period"), are defective and susceptible to mold, mildew, fungal, or other dark or gray growth or spotting or color variation or fading (the "Covered Conditions").</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States or its territories who own or owned a deck, rail or fence structure built with a Trex Product purchased during the Class Period. Included within the Settlement Class are the legal representatives, heirs, successors in interest, transferees, and assignees of all such foregoing holders and/or owners, immediate and remote.</p>	5-31-2013	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Steve W. Berman Robert F. Lopez Hagens Berman Sobol Sharpiro LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
4-18-2013	06-CV-15601	(E.D. Mich.)	<p>Cason Merenda, et al. v. Detroit Medical Center, et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that: 1) Defendants conspired to keep down RN compensation, in violation of federal antitrust law; 2) Defendants conspired to regularly exchange detailed information about current and future RN compensation, with the effect of keeping down RN compensation, in violation of federal antitrust law.</p> <p>Class Members are of two Classes:</p> <p>Mount Clemens Settlement and the Henry Ford Settlement: all registered nurses who provided direct patient care in short term acute care facilities, exclusive of supervisory, managerial and advanced practice nurses, and who were employed by Defendants within the Detroit-Warren-Livonia Metropolitan Statistical Area ("Detroit MSA") at any time from 12-12-2002 through 12-12-2006 ("Mount Clemens and Henry Ford Settlement Classes").</p> <p>Beaumont and Trinity Settlement: all registered nurses who provided direct patient care in short term acute care facilities, exclusive of supervisory, managerial and advanced practice nurses, and who were employed by Defendants within the Detroit MSA at any time from 12-12-2002 through 6-15-2007.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information Write or call:</p> <p>Keller Rohrback L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101</p> <p>800 231-5970</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
4-19-2013	11-CV-1280	(N.D. Ga.)	<p>Teagle v. LexisNexis Screening Solutions, Inc. ("LNSSI") Plaintiffs allege that LexisNexis sold consumer background reports to businesses so they could make decisions about whether to hire or promote people. The suit alleges that LexisNexis violated provisions of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq., by selling consumer reports for employment purposes during the time period 4-10-2006 to [date of preliminary approval] without providing these consumers proper notice under the FCRA when the reports contained negative information about them.</p> <p>Class Members are: 1) all individuals for whom LexisNexis sold a consumer report for employment purposes (to employers other than Wal-Mart or Target) between 4-20-2006 and [date of preliminary approval]; 2) whose LexisNexis Business Edition reports contained at least one record of adverse public-record information; and 3) for whom LexisNexis did fail to mail, due to technical reasons relating to the updating of criminal history information in LexisNexis's databases, on the same day it provided the report to the user, to the individual who was the subject of the report a notice that it was furnishing the report to the user.</p>		<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Michael A. Caddell Caddell & Chapman 1331 Lamar Suite 1070 Houston, TX 77010</p>
4-24-2013	08-CV-288	(E.D. Tex.)	<p>Morrow v. City of Tenaha Plaintiffs allege that the City of Tenaha Deputy City Marshall, the City of Tenaha Mayor, the Shelby County District Attorney, the Shelby</p>	4-22-2013	<p>For more information visit: http://www.aclu.org/</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>County District Attorney Investigator, and the Shelby County Precinct 4 Constable engaged in an illegal "stop and seize" practice of targeting, stopping, detaining, searching, and often seizing property from individuals who were, or appeared to be, members of a racial or ethnic minority and their passengers.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who (1) are, or appear to be, members of racial or ethnic minority groups and those in their company; (2) were or will be traveling in, through, or near Tenaha, Texas, at any time after 11-1-2006; and (3) were, or are, subject to being stopped by one or more Defendants for an alleged traffic violation.</p>		raci9al-justice/morrow-v-city-tenaha-et-al-notice-proposed-consent-decree .
4-24-2013	11-CV-01517	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Deanna Morey, et al., v. Louis Vuitton North America, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Louis Vuitton violated California Civil § 1747.08, which prohibits retailers from requesting and recording personal identification information (address, ZIP code, phone number and/or e-mail address) in conjunction with certain credit card transactions.</p> <p>Class Members are all who (1) used a credit card to make a purchase from a California Louis Vuitton retail store between 5-20-2010 and 1-28-2013; and (2) personal identification information was requested and recorded in conjunction with the credit card transaction, unless the information was collected for a</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Class Action Administration, Inc. 10875 Dover Street Suite 300 Westminster, CO 80021</p> <p>720 540-4422</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			special purpose incidental but related to the transaction, including information collected for shipping, delivery, servicing or repairing of the purchased merchandise or for special orders or paid holds.		
4-25-2013	08-CV-10772 12-CV-10961	(D. Mass.)	<p>Matamoros v. Starbucks Corp. Black v. Starbucks Corp. Plaintiffs allege that Starbucks violated the Massachusetts Tips Law, Mass. Gen. L.c. 149 § 152A, by including shift supervisors in the tip distribution with baristas. The federal district court agreed with the plaintiffs' claim, and the court entered judgment for approximate \$14,000,000 based on the parties' stipulation as to the amount of damages (for the period 3-2005 to 3-2011).</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who have worked as baristas at any Starbucks store in Massachusetts between 3-25-2005 and 1-7-2013.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call, e-mail or visit:</p> <p>Shannon Liss-Riordan Lichten & Liss-Riordan PC 100 Cambridge Street 20th Floor Boston, MA 02114</p> <p>Alisha ripley (firm settlement administrator) Aripley@llrlaw.com www.llrlaw.com</p>
4-26-2013	11-CV-00401 11-CV-00415 11-CV-00417 12-CV-00045	(N.D. Ind.)	<p>Jamila Swift v. DirectBuy, Inc. Janice Harris v. DirectBuy, Inc. Brian Vance v. DirectBuy, Inc. Phil Ganezer v. DirectBuy, Inc. Supplemental Notice: Under the amended order, any objection to the settlement must be received by August 13, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. at the courtroom of Judge Theresa Springmann, Ross Adair Courthouse, 1300 South Harrison Street, Fort Wayne, Indiana.</p>	9-10-2013	<p>For more information Write, call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>P Russell Perdeu 111 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606</p> <p>312 443-1712 312 896-6712 rperdeu@lockelord.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
4-26-2013	12-CV-01405	(M.D. Penn.)	<p>William F. Johnson and April Johnson v. Community Bank, N.A. and First Liberty Bank and Trust, a division of Community Bank, N.A. (Community")</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendant posted debit card transactions in order from highest to lowest dollar amount, increasing the number of overdraft fees assessed to its customers. The lawsuit claims that, instead of declining transactions when an account had insufficient funds to cover transactions, Community authorized the transactions and then processed them in highest to lowest dollar order, which had the effect of increasing the number of overdraft fees the bank charged its customers.</p> <p>Class Members are all who (1) had a Community consumer deposit account that was accessible with a Community debit card between 7-20-2006 and 8-15-2010, and (2) were charged one or more Overdraft Fees as a result of Community's practice of posting Debit Card Transactions from highest to lowest dollar amount.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit or call:</p> <p>www.CommunityBankOverdraftSettlement.com</p> <p>1 866-752-0071</p>
4-29-2013	11-CV-02744	(D. Md.)	<p>Edelen, et al. v. American Residential Services, LLC ("ARS"), et al.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges ARS violated federal and state laws by failing to pay technicians properly for overtime hours worked in excess of 40 during the workweek. During workweeks in which Technicians worked over forty hours, Plaintiff alleges that the technician's gross weekly earnings for hours actually worked frequently only equaled the sum</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call:</p> <p>Settlement Administrator Heffler Claims Group</p> <p>215 665-8870</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>of: regular pay equaling forty hours multiplied by the Technician's regular hourly rate of pay plus overtime pay equaling all hours worked over forty multiplied by an overtime pay rate of one-and-one-half-times the regular hourly pay rate plus any bonus, commission, or other payments not directly related to the number of hours worked.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals employed by Defendants at their company-owned service facility in Laurel, Maryland as an HVAC technician during any workweek between 9-23-2008 and 10-5-2011.</p>		
4-29-2013	10-CV-01993	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Just Film, Inc., et al. v. Merchant Services, Inc., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Settling Defendants misrepresented and omitted the costs, term, early termination penalties, and other provisions of the processing agreements and leases and unlawfully collected commissions and cancellation fees. Plaintiffs further assert that if customers defaulted on payment, Settling Defendants engaged in improper collection efforts by making unlawful credit inquiries on the personal credit reports of the personal guarantors who signed the agreements. Plaintiffs allege that many of the credit inquiries appeared with the trade line "Universal Merchant Services." Plaintiffs allege violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO") and Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"); fraud, deceit</p>	5-2-2013	<p>For more information write, call, fax or visit:</p> <p>Gutride Safier LLP Adam J. Gutride Seth A. Safier Kristen Simplicio 835 Douglass Street San Francisco, CA 94114</p> <p>415 336-6545</p> <p>415 449-6469</p> <p>www.justfilmsettlement.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>and/or misrepresentation; negligent misrepresentations; conversion; breach of contract; breach of the duty of good faith; false advertising under California Business and Professions Code sections 17500, et seq.; unfair business practices under California Business and Professions Code sections 172,00, et seq.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who entered into an agreement for bankcard processing services and an associated lease for bankcard processing equipment with one or more of the Settling Defendants, between 3-26-2006 and 3-20-2013.</p>		
4-29-2013	11-CV-06738	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Anita White, et al. v. EDebitPay, LLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege that EDebitPay, LLC and Platinum Online Group, LLC ("Defendants") unlawfully obtained consumers' bank account information when those consumers applied for online pay day loans and used the information to withdraw money from consumers' bank accounts without authorization.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who applied for a payday loan over the internet since 6-20-2007 and believe they had unauthorized withdrawals or attempted withdrawals on their bank account.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.edebitpaysettlement.com</p>
4-30-2013	05-CV-1602	(D.N.J.)	<p>In re: Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Defendants used monopoly power in certain markets for certain hypodermic products made by Becton Dickinson ("BD Hypodermic Products") through an exclusionary</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit: www.berdonclaims.com</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in April 2013 to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>contracting and bundling pricing scheme which allegedly caused members of the Class to pay higher prices than they would have otherwise paid absent the alleged conduct.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities (and assignees of claims from such persons and entities) who (1) purchased BD Hypodermic Products in the United States from BD at any time during the period of 3-23-2001 through 4-27-2009 (the "Class Period") and (2) were invoiced by BD for said purchases.</p>		